

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

1. Emerson Bry an

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Sep 6 2011

ARC REVIEW CODE: P1109061

TO: Mayor Donna PittmanATTN TO: Luke Howe, Assistant to the MayorFROM: Emerson Bryan, Interim Director

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional

review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2011 City of Doraville STWP Update Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The 2011 Update of the City of Doraville's STWP

<u>Submitting Local Government</u>: City of Doraville <u>Action Under Consideration</u>: Approval <u>Date Opened</u>: Sep 6 2011 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: Sep 27 2011 <u>Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed</u>: Nov 7 2011

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING ARC DATA RESEARCH GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES CITY OF CHAMBLEE DEKALB COUNTY ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CITY OF DUNWOODY

ARC Environmental Planning Georgia Department of Community Affairs Georgia Regional Transportation Authority Gwinnett County

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Sep 27 2011, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged. The ARC review website is located at: <u>http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</u>.

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government:	City of Doraville	Date Received:	Sep 6 2011	
Local Contact:	Luke Howe, Assistant to the Mayor City of Doraville	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2011-10-03 09:00 AM	
Phone:		E-Mail:		
Fax:		Website:		
Street	3725 Park Avenue	City State, Zip:	Doraville, Georgia 30340	
	Department of Commu	nity Affairs	Review Required	
Review Title:	2011 City of Doraville STWP U	Update		
Description:	The 2011 Update of the City of Doraville's STWP			
	Document can be viewed on t http://www.atlantaregional.c Under Plan Review, search fo	<u>om/landuse</u>		
The submitte	d documents are available	for review a	t ARC and the local government.	
Reviewing Re	gional Development Cente	P •		
		1.		
Atlanta Regiona	al Commission			

Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 Phone 404.463.3302 FAX 404.463.3254

AR

Contact	Jared Lombard AICP, Principal Planner	
Person:		
E-Mail	jlombard@atlantaregional.com	

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: Sep 6 2011

ARC REVIEW CODE: P1109061

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs FROM: Jared Lombard, Principal Planner 3-3302

Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

Land Use: Lombard, Jared	Transportation: Kray, Michael
<u>Environmental:</u> Santo, Jim	<u>Research:</u> Skinner, Jim
Aging: Rader, Carolyn	

Name of Proposal: 2011 City of Doraville STWP Update Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan Description: The 2011 Update of the City of Doraville's STWP Submitting Local Government: City of Doraville Date Opened: Sep 6 2011

Deadline for Comments: Sep 27 2011

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Nov 7 2011

	Response:
1)	□ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)	\Box While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
3)	\Box While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
4)	□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
5)	□ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.
	COMMENTS: