

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

ARC REVIEW CODE: P1108192 **DATE**: Aug 19 2011

TO: Mayor Eva Galambos

ATTN TO: Patrice Ruffin, Manager Planning and Zoning Emergon Bry an

FROM: Emerson Bryan, Interim Director

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: City of Sandy Springs Capital Improvement Element and STWP Update Review Type:

Description: The 2011 Update of the City of Sandy Springs CIE and STWP Update

Submitting Local Government: City of Sandy Springs

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: Aug 19 2011

Deadline for Comments: Sep 9 2011

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Oct 18 2011

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING ARC DATA RESEARCH GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES CITY OF SANDY SPRINGS CITY OF ROSWELL CITY OF DUNWOODY **GWINNETT COUNTY**

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION **FULTON COUNTY** CITY OF ALPHARETTA **DEKALB COUNTY**

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY CITY OF ATLANTA CITY OF JOHNS CREEK COBB COUNTY

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Sep 9 2011, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse.



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government	City of Sandy Springs	Date Received:	Aug 19 2011
Local Contact:	Patrice Ruffin, Manager Planning and Zoning City of Sandy Springs	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2011-09-13 09:00 AM
Phone:	770-479-0400	E-Mail:	
Fax:		Website:	http:\\www.
Street	7840 Roswell Road	City State, Zip:	Sandy Springs, GA 30350
	Department of Commu	nity Affairs	Review Required
Review Title:	City of Sandy Springs Capital	Improvement	t Element and STWP Update
Description	The 2011 Update of the City of Sandy Springs CIE and STWP Update Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of Sandy Springs.		
The submitt	ted documents are available	for review a	t ARC and the local government.
Reviewing F	Regional Development Cente	r:	
40 Courtland	nal Commission Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 3.3302 FAX 404.463.3254		
Person:	Jared Lombard AICP, Principal Planner		
E-Mail j	lombard@atlantaregional.com		

Arc Staff Notice Of Regional Review And Comment Form					
DATE:	Aug 19 2011 ARC REVIEW CODE: P1108192				
TO:	TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs				
FROM: Jared Lombard, Principal Planner 3-3302					
Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:					
Land U	<u>See:</u> Lombard, Jared <u>Transportation:</u> Marshall, Dahshi				
Enviro	nmental: Santo, Jim Research: Skinner, Jim				
Aging: Rader, Carolyn					
Name of Proposal: City of Sandy Springs Capital Improvement Element and STWP Update Review Type: Description: The 2011 Update of the City of Sandy Springs CIE and STWP Update Submitting Local Government: City of Sandy Springs Date Opened: Aug 19 2011 Deadline for Comments: Sep 9 2011 Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Oct 18 2011					
	Response:				
1)	□ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.				
2)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.				
3)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.				
4)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.				
5)	☐ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.				
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.				
COMMENTS:					