**1:C** 

# **REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION**

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

#### **DATE**: Aug 16 2011

#### ARC REVIEW CODE: P1108161

TO:CEO Burrell EllisATTN TO:Cedric Hudson, Long Range Planning AdministratorFROM:Emerson Bryan, Interim DirectorEmerson Bryan, Interim Director

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

<u>Name of Proposal:</u> 2011 DeKalb County Short Term Work Program Update <u>Review Type:</u> Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The 2011 Update of DeKalb County's Short Term Work Program Update

<u>Submitting Local Government</u>: DeKalb County <u>Action Under Consideration</u>: Approval <u>Date Opened</u>: Aug 16 2011 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: Sep 6 2011 <u>Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed</u>: Oct 15 2011

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING ARC DATA RESEARCH GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DEKALB COUNTY CITY OF CLARKSTON CITY OF ATLANTA GWINNETT COUNTY CLAYTON COUNTY ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CITY OF DORAVILLE CITY OF DECATUR CITY OF LITHONIA CITY OF DUNWOODY CITY OF SANDY SPRINGS ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY CITY OF CHAMBLEE CITY OF PINE LAKE ROCKDALE COUNTY HENRY COUNTY

#### Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463–3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Sep 6 2011, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged. The ARC review website is located at: <a href="http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse">http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</a>.



# **REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION**

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

#### NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Governments	DeKalb County	Date Received:	Aug 16 2011	
Local Contact:	Cedric Hudson, Long Range Planning Administrator DeKalb County	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2011-09-12 09:00 AM	
Phone:	404-371-2155	E-Mail:		
Fax:		Website:		
Street	330 West Ponce de Leon	City State, Zip:	Decatur, GA 30030	
	Department of Commu	nity Affairs	Review Required	
Review Title: 2011 DeKalb County Short Term Work Program Update				
Description	The 2011 Update of DeKalb County's Short Term Work Program Update Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: <u>http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</u> Under Plan Review, search for the DeKalb County.			
	ed documents are available : Regional Development Cente		t ARC and the local government.	
40 Courtland	nal Commission Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 3.3302 FAX 404.463.3254			
Contact     Jared Lombard AICP, Principal Planner       Person:				
E-Mail j	lombard@atlantaregional.com			

## ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

### **DATE**: Aug 16 2011

#### ARC REVIEW CODE: P1108161

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs FROM: Jared Lombard, Principal Planner 3-3302

#### <u>Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:</u>

<b>Land Use:</b> Lombard, Jared		
Environmental: Santo, Jim		
<b>Aging:</b> Rader, Carolyn		

<u>Transportation:</u> Kray, Michael <u>Research:</u> Skinner, Jim

Name of Proposal: 2011 DeKalb County Short Term Work Program Update <u>Review Type:</u> Local Comprehensive Plan <u>Description:</u> The 2011 Update of DeKalb County's Short Term Work Program Update <u>Submitting Local Government:</u> DeKalb County <u>Date Opened:</u> Aug 16 2011

Deadline for Comments: Sep 6 2011

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Oct 15 2011

<ul> <li>guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>3) □ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional developmen guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>4) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.</li> <li>6) □Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.</li> </ul>		Response:		
<ul> <li>guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.</li> <li>Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.</li> </ul>	1)	$\Box$ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
<ul> <li>guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>4) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.</li> <li>6) □Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.</li> </ul>	2)	$\Box$ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
<ul> <li>5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.</li> <li>6) □Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.</li> </ul>	3)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
6)	4)	□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
	5)	□ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.		
COMMENTS:	6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.		
COMMENTE	COMMENTS:			