REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Aug 4 2011

ARC REVIEW CODE: P1108042

TO: Mayor Jere Wood **ATTN TO:** Alice Wakefield, Cmty. Dev. Director Emerson Bryan, Interim Director FROM:

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2011 City of Roswell Community Agenda and CIE Update **Review Type:** Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The 2011 Update of the City of Roswell Community Agenda which includes the annual CIE Update

Submitting Local Government: City of Roswell Action Under Consideration: Approval Date Opened: Aug 4 2011 Deadline for Comments: Aug 25 2011 Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Oct 3 2011

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING ARC DATA RESEARCH GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES FUI TON COUNTY CITY OF SANDY SPRINGS COBB COUNTY

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CITY OF ROSWELL CITY OF JOHNS CREEK CHEROKEE COUNTY

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY CITY OF ALPHARETTA CITY OF DUNWOODY **GWINNETT COUNTY**

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Aug 25 2011, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged. The ARC review website is located at: <u>http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</u>.



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government:	City of Roswell	Date Received:	Aug 4 2011
Local Contact:	Alice Wakefield, Cmty. Dev. Director City of Roswell	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2011-08-29 09:00 AM
Phone:	770-641-3780	E-Mail:	
Fax:	770-641-3741	Website:	
Street	38 Hill Street	City State, Zip:	Roswell, GA 30075
	Department of Commu	nity Affairs	Review Required
Review Title:	2011 City of Roswell Commun	nity Agenda ar	nd CIE Update
Description:	The 2011 Update of the City of annual CIE Update	of Roswell Con	nmunity Agenda which includes the
	Document can be viewed on t http://www.atlantaregional.c Under Plan Review, search fo	<u>com/landuse</u>	
The submittee	d documents are available	for review a	t ARC and the local government.

Reviewing Regional Development Center:

Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 Phone 404.463.3302 FAX 404.463.3254

Contact	Jared Lombard AICP, Principal Planner
Person:	
E-Mail	jlombard@atlantaregional.com

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: Aug 4 2011

ARC REVIEW CODE: P1108042

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs FROM: Jared Lombard, Principal Planner 3-3302

<u>Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:</u>

Land Use: Lombard, Jared
Environmental: Santo, Jim
<u>Aging:</u> Rader, Carolyn

Transportation: Kray, Michael Research: Skinner, Jim

Name of Proposal: 2011 City of Roswell Community Agenda and CIE Update Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan Description: The 2011 Update of the City of Roswell Community Agenda which includes the annual CIE Update Submitting Local Government: City of Roswell Date Opened: Aug 4 2011

Deadline for Comments: Aug 25 2011

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Oct 3 2011

	Response:
1)	□ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
3)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
4)	□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
5)	□ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.
	COMMENTS: