

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Mar 15 2011 **ARC REVIEW CODE:** P1103151

TO: Mayor Don Haddix

ATTN TO: David Rast, Community Development Director

FROM: Charles Krautler, Director

NOTE: This is digital signature.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2011 City of Peachtree City STWP/CIE Update

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The 2011 Update of the City of Peachtree City's Short Term Work Program and Capital Improvement

Element

Submitting Local Government: City of Peachtree City

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: Mar 15 2011

Deadline for Comments: Apr 5 2011

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: May 14 2011

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
CITY OF PEACHTREE CITY
FAYETTE COUNTY

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
COWETA COUNTY
CITY OF FAYETTEVILLE

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
THREE RIVERS RC
TOWN OF TYRONE

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Apr 5 2011, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse .



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

City of Peachtree City	Date Received:	Mar 15 2011
David Rast, Community Development Director City of Peachtree City	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2011-04-11 09:00 AM
770-487-5731	E-Mail:	
	Website:	
153 Willowbend Road	City State, Zip:	Peachtree City, Georgia 30269
Department of Commu		Review Required
2011 City of Peachtree City ST	ΓWP/CIE Upd	ate
The 2011 Update of the City of Peachtree City's Short Term Work Program and Capital Improvement Element		
Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of Peachtree City.		
	David Rast, Community Development Director City of Peachtree City 770-487-5731 153 Willowbend Road Department of Commu 2011 City of Peachtree City ST The 2011 Update of the City of Capital Improvement Element Document can be viewed on the http://www.atlantaregional.com http://www.atlantaregional.com Development Director City The 2011 Update of the City of Capital Improvement Element Document can be viewed on the http://www.atlantaregional.com Development Director City Development Director City The 2011 Update of the City of Capital Improvement Element Document can be viewed on the http://www.atlantaregional.com Development Director City Development Director City Development Director City Development Of Peachtree City Development Of Peachtree City ST Development Of Peachtree City ST Development Of Peachtree City ST Development City Of Peachtree City ST Development City Of Peachtree City ST Development City Of Peachtree City ST	David Rast, Community Development Director City of Peachtree City 770-487-5731 E-Mail: Website: 153 Willowbend Road City State, Zip: Department of Community Affairs 2011 City of Peachtree City STWP/CIE Upd The 2011 Update of the City of Peachtree City Capital Improvement Element Document can be viewed on the ARC websitettp://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse

Reviewing Regional Development Center:

Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 Phone 404.463.3302 FAX 404.463.3254

Contact	Jared Lombard AICP, Principal Planner
Person:	
E-Mail	ilombard@atlantaregional.com

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE	: Mar 15 2011	ARC REVIEW CODE: P1103151
TO: FROM	ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transp f: Jared Lombard, Principal Planner 3-33	portation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs
	<u>Revie</u>	wing staff by Jurisdiction:
,	Use: Lombard, Jared	<u>Transportation:</u> Hammond, Regan <u>Research:</u> Skinner, Jim
	Rader, Carolyn	
Review Descri Submi Date O	of Proposal: 2011 City of Peachtree City ST w Type: Local Comprehensive Plan iption: The 2011 Update of the City of Peachtree itting Local Government: City of Peacht Opened: Mar 15 2011 line for Comments: Apr 5 2011	e City's Short Term Work Program and Capital Improvement Element ree City
		Domena
		Response:
1)	☐ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the follow	Response: wing regional development guide listed in the comment section.
1) 2)	-	wing regional development guide listed in the comment section. inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
	☐ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section.	wing regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)	 □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. 	wing regional development guide listed in the comment section. inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
2)	 □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the 	wing regional development guide listed in the comment section. inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
2)3)4)	 □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with th □ The proposal does NOT relate to any device. 	wing regional development guide listed in the comment section. rinconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development rinconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development e following regional development guide listed in the comment section. relopment guide for which this division is responsible. for the reasons listed in the comment section.
2)3)4)5)	 □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with th □ The proposal does NOT relate to any device. 	wing regional development guide listed in the comment section. inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development e following regional development guide listed in the comment section. relopment guide for which this division is responsible.
2)3)4)5)	 □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with th □ The proposal does NOT relate to any device. 	wing regional development guide listed in the comment section. rinconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development rinconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development e following regional development guide listed in the comment section. relopment guide for which this division is responsible. for the reasons listed in the comment section.
2)3)4)5)	 □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with th □ The proposal does NOT relate to any device. 	wing regional development guide listed in the comment section. rinconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development rinconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development e following regional development guide listed in the comment section. relopment guide for which this division is responsible. for the reasons listed in the comment section.
2)3)4)5)	 □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with th □ The proposal does NOT relate to any device. 	wing regional development guide listed in the comment section. rinconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development rinconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development e following regional development guide listed in the comment section. relopment guide for which this division is responsible. for the reasons listed in the comment section.
2)3)4)5)	 □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with th □ The proposal does NOT relate to any device. 	wing regional development guide listed in the comment section. rinconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development rinconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development e following regional development guide listed in the comment section. relopment guide for which this division is responsible. for the reasons listed in the comment section.
2)3)4)5)	 □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with th □ The proposal does NOT relate to any device. 	wing regional development guide listed in the comment section. rinconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development rinconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development e following regional development guide listed in the comment section. relopment guide for which this division is responsible. for the reasons listed in the comment section.
2)3)4)5)	 □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with th □ The proposal does NOT relate to any device. 	wing regional development guide listed in the comment section. r inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development r inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development e following regional development guide listed in the comment section. relopment guide for which this division is responsible. for the reasons listed in the comment section.
2)3)4)5)	 □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consistent nor guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with th □ The proposal does NOT relate to any device. 	wing regional development guide listed in the comment section. rinconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development rinconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development e following regional development guide listed in the comment section. relopment guide for which this division is responsible. for the reasons listed in the comment section.