

## REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Feb 24 2011 ARC REVIEW CODE: P1102241

TO: Mayor Jack Longino
ATTN TO: Bill Johnston, City Planner
FROM: Charles Krautler, Director (

NOTE: This is digital signature. Original on file.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2011 City of College Park Community Assessment and Participation Plan

**Review Type:** Local Comprehensive Plan

**Description:** Under Review Seeking Comments

Submitting Local Government: City of College Park

**Action Under Consideration:** Approval

Date Opened: Feb 24 2011

**Deadline for Comments:** Mar 17 2011

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Mar 26 2011

#### THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
PLANNING HARTSFIELD JACKSON ATL. INT. AIRPORT
CITY OF UNION CITY
CITY OF HAPEVILLE

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CITY OF COLLEGE PARK
CLAYTON COUNTY

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
CITY OF ATLANTA
FAYETTE COUNTY

## Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Feb 24 2011, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <a href="http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse">http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</a> .



# REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

#### NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Governmen	City of College Park	Date Received:	Feb 24 2011
Local Contact:	Bill Johnston, City Planner City of College Park	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2011-03-21 09:00 AM
Phone:	404-767-1537	E-Mail:	
Fax:		Website:	http:\\www.
Street	3667 Main Street	City State, Zip:	College Park, GA 30337
	Department of Commu		Review Required
Review Title:	2011 City of College Park Co	mmunity Asses	ssment and Participation Plan
Description	Under Review Seeking Comments  Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: <a href="http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse">http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</a> Under Plan Review, search for the City of College Park.		
			t ARC and the local government.
Reviewing	Regional Development Cento	er:	
40 Courtland	onal Commission l Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 63.3302 FAX 404.463.3254		
<b>Person:</b>	Jared Lombard AICP, Principal I	Planner	
E-Mail	ilombard@atlantaregional.com		

### ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE	: Feb 24 2011	ARC REVIEW CODE: P1102241		
TO: FROM	ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transporta  1: Jared Lombard, Principal Planner 3-3302	ation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs		
Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:				
	<u>Use:</u> Lombard, Jared	<u>Transportation:</u> Hammond, Regan		
Environmental: Santo, Jim Aging: Rader, Carolyn		Research: Skinner, Jim		
Revier Descri Submit Date (	e of Proposal: 2011 City of College Park Commun w Type: Local Comprehensive Plan iption: Under Review Seeking Comments itting Local Government: City of College Par Opened: Feb 24 2011	k		
Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Mar 26 2011				
		Response:		
1)	-	regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
2)	☐ While neither specifically consistent nor inco guide listed in the comment section.	onsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development		
3)	☐ While neither specifically consistent nor inco guide listed in the comment section.	onsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development		
4)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the foll	owing regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
5)	☐ The proposal does NOT relate to any develop	ment guide for which this division is responsible.		
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for th	ne reasons listed in the comment section.		
COMMENTS:				