

## REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

**DATE:** Feb. 3 2011 **ARC REVIEW CODE:** V110231

TO: Chairman Tim Lee

ATTN TO: David Breaden, Cobb County

FROM: Charles Krautler, Director

NOTE: This is digital signature Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: RC-11-01CB Riverview on the Chattahoochee Portion

Review Type: Metro River MRPA Code: RC-11-01CB

<u>Description:</u> An application for a Metropolitan River Protection Act Certificate for 9.78 acres of the Riverview on the Chattahoochee development.

#### **Preliminary Finding:**

<u>Submitting Local Government</u>: Cobb County <u>Land Lot:</u> 171,172,175,284 <u>District:</u> 18 <u>Section:</u>

Date Opened: Feb 3 2011

Deadline for Comments: Feb 13 2011

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Feb 13 2011

#### THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING NATIONAL PARK SERVICE FULTON COUNTY ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING COBB COUNTY

GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

UPPER CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVERKEEPER

CITY OF SMYRNA

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jon Tuley at (404) 463–3309. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by 2011–02–13 we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <a href="http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse">http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</a> .

#### Attached is information concerning this review.

# APPLICATION FOR METROPOLITAN RIVER PROTECTION ACT CERTIFICATE

1.	Name of Local Government:
2.	Owner(s) of Record of Property to be Reviewed:
	Name(s): <u>Riverview Industries</u> L.P - (Brandon, Kessinger) or Walter
	Mailing Address: One Overton Park, 12th Floor /3425 Cum berland 1211
-	City: AHaata State: GA Zip: 30339
	Contact Phone Numbers (w/Area Code):
	Daytime Phone: 770 - 805 - 1000 Fax:
	Other Numbers:
3.	Applicant(s) or Applicant's Agent(s):
	Name(s): Planners and Engineers Collaborate (Kenneth Wood)
	Mailing Address: 350 Research Court
	City: Norcross State: GA Zip: 30092
	Contact Phone Numbers (w/Area Code):
	Daytime Phone: 770-380-5969 Fax: 678-684-6240
	Other Numbers: 684-6206
ŧ.	Proposed Land or Water User
7	Name of Development: Ziver View on the Chatta hosches
	Name of Development: <u>Liver View</u> on the <u>Chatta hoochea</u> Description of Proposed Use: <u>Mashr plan mixed Use Subtanable</u> <u>Thereforment</u> , redevelopment of existing <u>Industrial</u> to Live work, play  Community
	Development reduces and for the Thirty and Subtanable
	1) eraprices fraevergment by existing enaustrial to Live work, play
<b>5.</b>	Property Description (Attach Legal Description and Vicinity Map):
•	Land Lot(s) District Section Country 17/ 172 m = 201/ 102/ 102/
	Land Lot(s), District, Section, County: 171, 172, 175, 284, 18th District
	<u></u>
	Subdivision, Lot, Block, Street and Address, Distance to Nearest Intersection:  Nichols Drive a Preview Food — Riveriew Read a Diction France Since of Development (III).
	Size of Development (Use as Applicable):
١	Acres: Inside Corridor: 9.78 acres
	Outside Corridor: 0.00  Total: 9.78accs
	Lots: Inside Corridor: V/A
	Total: Units: Inside Corridor: /75
	Outside Corridor: 0
	Total: /75
	Other Size Descriptor (i.e., Length and Width of Easement):
	Inside Corridor:
	Outside Corridor:
	\$ 11191°

6.	A. ]	Does the to is not part If "yes", d Taduskra	otal develo of this appescribe the Develo	orridor Development include ad plication? <u>Geo</u> additional land a control of the	ditional lan S and any dev Distarba	velopment pla nce – grand	ins: Fattered		
		bordering	this land,	previously receive	ed a certific	• •	•		
•	1	Corridor i If "ves" n	eview app lease ident	roval? <u> </u>	roview idea	tification nu	mhor(s) and	the deta(s)	
	Ć	of the revi	ew(s):	the use(s), the	Teview luci		miber (s), and	the date(s)	
	-			Atm Woods-	Oakdel	Blutts,	Oak dale	Englave S	45011
7.	How	Will Sewa	ge from th	is Development b	e Treated?			#Tol	m həm
	<b>A.</b> S	Septic tank						• ,	
				s with septic tank ealth department				ppropriate	
	В. Р		er system_						
8.	Sumn	nary of Vi	ılnerability	' Analysis of Prop	osed Land	or Water Use	e:		
		<b>,</b>							
	nerab ategor	•	tal Acreag Sq. Footag	· ·	age) (or s	al Acreage Sq. Footage) erv. Surface	Percent Land <u>Disturb.</u> (Maximum Parenti	Percent Imperv. Surf. s Shown In leses)	
		<i>m</i>	20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	A	<u> </u>	00				(90)	(75)	
	В	000	)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			(80)	(60)	
	C	7.60	)	5,31	3	41	(70) <u>69.</u> 2	37 <sub>(45)</sub> 44.8	7
	D	0.00	)	· .			_ (50)	<u>(30)</u>	
	E	0.79		0.23	0.1	18	(30) <i>_29.1</i>	<u>// (15)/4.94</u>	
	F	1.390	(lake)	0.00		0.00	(10)	(2)	
To	otal:	9.78	e.				N/A	N/A	

9.	Is any of this Land within the 100-Year Floodplain of the Chattahoochee River?
	If "yes", indicate the 100-year floodplain elevation: 768.0  NOTE: The 100-year river floodplain is defined as the natural land surface below the one
•	hundred- (100) year flood elevations shown in the Flood Profiles of the most recent
	floodplain study for the Chattahoochee River approved by the United States Federal Emergency Management Agency for each Corridor jurisdiction.
	NOTE: All river 100-year floodplain is assigned to the "E" Category; its allowable
	allocations can be combined with those of other "E" land in the review. Also, 100-
	year floodplain cannot be reanalyzed and cannot accept transfers.
10.	Is any of this land within the 500-year floodplain of the Chattahoochee River? 4eS
	If "yes", indicate the 500-year flood plain elevation: 770-77/
·	NOTE: The 500-year floodplain is defined as the natural land surface below the five hundred- (500) year flood elevations shown in the Flood Profiles of the most
	recent floodplain study for the Chattahoochee River approved by the United
	States Federal Emergency Management Agency for each Corridor
	jurisdiction.  NOTE: Plan Standards include a 35-foot height limit above the pre-construction grade
	within the 500-year floodplain (includes the 100-year floodplain). Adherence
	to this standard must be noted on the submitted plans (see Part 2.B.(4) of the
	Chattahoochee Corridor Plan).
11.	The following is a checklist of information required to be attached as part of the
	application. Individual items may be combined.
	R ALL APPLICATIONS:
	Description of land in the application and any additional land in the project (attach legal
	description or surveyed boundaries).
	Name, address, and phone number(s) of owner(s) of record of the land in the application.
	(Space provided on this form)
	Written consent of all owners to this application. (Space provided on this form)
<u> </u>	Name, address, and phone number(s) of applicant or applicant's agent. (Space provided
	on this form)
<u> </u>	Description of proposed use(s). (Space provided on this form)
_	Existing vegetation plan.
<u>/</u>	Proposed grading plan.
<u> </u>	Certified as-builts of all existing land disturbance and impervious surfaces.
<i>M</i> /₩	Approved erosion control plan.
/	Detailed table of land-disturbing activities. (Both on this form and on the plans)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

a b

<u></u>	Plat-level plan showing (as applicable): lot boundaries; any other sub-areas; all eas and rights-of -way; 100- and 500-year river floodplains; vulnerability category boundaries; topography; any other information that will clarify the review.	emer
	Documentation on adjustments, if any.	
v	Cashier's check or money order (for application fee).	
FO	R SINGLE-STEP APPLICATIONS (NON-SUBDIVISION): _ Site plan.	
-	Land-disturbance plan.	
<b>FO</b>	R TWO-STEP SINGLE-FAMILY SUBDIVISION APPLICATIONS ONLY: _Concept plan.	
<del></del>	_ Lot-by-lot and non-lot allocation tables.	
12.	I (we), the undersigned, authorize and request review of this application for a certifunder the provisions of the Metropolitan River Protection Act: (use additional sheet necessary)	
	12/28/10 Sinature(1 of Owner(s) of Record Date	
13.	Signature(s) of Owner(s) of Record  I (we), the undersigned, authorize and request review of this application for a certificunder the provisions of the Metropolitan River Protection Act:	icate
13.	I (we), the undersigned, authorize and request review of this application for a certifi	icate
13.	I (we), the undersigned, authorize and request review of this application for a certification the provisions of the Metropolitan River Protection Act:	icate
13.	I (we), the undersigned, authorize and request review of this application for a certification the provisions of the Metropolitan River Protection Act:    12/27/20/0     Signature(s) of Applicant(s) or Agent(s)   Date    The governing authority of	
6	I (we), the undersigned, authorize and request review of this application for a certification the provisions of the Metropolitan River Protection Act:	icate

### ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

Date: Feb 3 2011 ARC Review Code: V110231

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs

FROM: Jon Tuley, Extension: 3-3309

#### Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

Land Use:Tuley, JonTransportation:N/AEnvironmental:Santo, JimResearch:N/A

**Aging:** N/A

Name of Proposal: RC-11-01CB Riverview on the Chattahoochee Portion

**Review Type:** Metro River

**<u>Description:</u>** An application for a Metropolitan River Protection Act Certificate for 9.78 acres of the Riverview on the Chattahoochee

development.

**Submitting Local Government:** Cobb County

Date Opened: Feb 3 2011

Deadline for Comments: Feb 13 2011

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Feb 13 2011

	Response:
1)	$\hfill \square$ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
3)	guide listed in the comment section.  □ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
4)	☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
5)	$\Box$ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.
6)	$\Box$ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.
	COMMENTS: