

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Sep 20 2010

ARC REVIEW CODE P1009201:

TO: Mayor Donnie Henriques
ATTN TO: Brian Stockton, City Planner
FROM: Charles Krautler, Director (

NOTE: This is digital signature. Orininal on file.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2010 City of Woodstock Capital Improvement Element and Short Term Work Program

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The 2010 Update of the City of Woodstock CIE/STWP Program Update

Submitting Local Government: City of Woodstock

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: Sep 20 2010

Deadline for Comments: Oct 11 2010

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Nov 19 2010

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
CITY OF CANTON

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CHEROKEE COUNTY CITY OF HOLLY SPRINGS ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA ENV. FACILITIES AUTHORITY
CITY OF WOODSTOCK
CITY OF BALL GROUND

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Nov 19 2010, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse.



E-Mail

jlombard@atlantaregional.com

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Governmen	t: City of Woodstock	Date Received:	Sep 20 2010
Local Contact:	Brian Stockton, City Planner City of Woodstock	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2010-10-15 09:00 AM
Phone:	770-592-6000	E-Mail:	bstockton@woodstockga.gov
Fax:		Website:	
Street	12453 Highway 92	City State, Zip:	Woodstock, Georgia 30188
	Department of Commu	nity Affairs	Review Required
Review Title	2010 City of Woodstock Capital Improvement Element and Short Term Work Program		
Description	scription: The 2010 Update of the City of Woodstock CIE/STWP Program Update		CIE/STWP Program Update
	Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of Woodstock.		
The submi	tted documents are available	for review a	t ARC and the local government.
Reviewing Regional Development Center:			
40 Courtland	onal Commission d Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 63.3302 FAX 404.463.3254		
Contact Person: Jared Lombard AICP, Principal Planner			

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM **DATE:** Sep 20 2010 ARC REVIEW CODE: TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs FROM: Jared Lombard, Principal Planner 3-3302 Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction: Land Use: Lombard, Jared **Transportation:** Kray, Michael Environmental: Santo, Jim Research: Skinner, Jim **Aging:** N/A Name of Proposal: 2010 City of Woodstock Capital Improvement Element and Short Term Work Program **Review Type:** Local Comprehensive Plan **Description:** The 2010 Update of the City of Woodstock CIE/STWP Program Update **Submitting Local Government:** City of Woodstock Date Opened: Sep 20 2010 Deadline for Comments: Nov 19 2010 Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Nov 19 2010 Response: □ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. 1) 2) □ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. 3) □ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. 4) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. 5) ☐ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible. □Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. 6) **COMMENTS:**