

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Jul 26 2010 **ARC Review Code:** P1007263

TO: Mayor Lee Stuart

ATTN TO: Cheri Hobson-Mathews, Director

FROM: Charles Krautler, Director (

NOTE: This is digital signature.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2010 City of Stockbridge CIE/STWP Update

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The 2010 Update of the City of Stockbridge's Short Term Work Program and Capital Improvement

Element

Submitting Local Government: City of Stockbridge

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: Jul 26 2010

Deadline for Comments: Aug 16 2010

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Sep 24 2010

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
CITY OF LOCUST GROVE
CLAYTON COUNTY

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
HENRY COUNTY
CITY OF McDONOUGH

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA ENV. FACILITIES AUTHORITY
HENRY COUNTY SCHOOLS
CITY OF STOCKBRIDGE

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Aug 16 2010, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse .



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government	City of Stockbridge	Date Received:	Jul 26 2010
Local Contact:	Cheri Hobson-Mathews, Director Henry County	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2010-08-20 09:00 AM
Phone:		E-Mail:	
Fax:		Website:	http:\\www.
Street	140 Henry Parkway	City State, Zip:	McDonough, Georgia 30253
	Department of Comm	unity Affairs	Review Required
Review Title: Description	The 2010 Update of the City of Stockbridge's Short Term Work Program and Capital Improvement Element Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of Stockbridge.		
The submit	ted documents are availabl	e for review a	t ARC and the local government.
Reviewing I	Regional Development Cent	ter:	
40 Courtland	nal Commission Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 63.3302 FAX 404.463.3254		
Person:	Jared Lombard AICP, Principal	Planner	
E-Mail j	lombard@atlantaregional.com		

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE : Jul 26 2010		ARC REVIEW CODE: P1007263			
TO:	TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs FROM: Jared Lombard, Principal Planner 3-3302				
Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:					
Land U	Jse: Lombard, Jared	<u>Transportation:</u> Wakhisi, Kofi			
Environmental: Santo, Jim		Research: Skinner, Jim			
Aging	Rader, Carolyn				
Review Descri Submi	of Proposal: 2010 City of Stockbridge CIF w Type: Local Comprehensive Plan ption: The 2010 Update of the City of Stockbr tting Local Government: City of Stock Opened: Jul 26 2010	ridge's Short Term Work Program and Capital Improvement Element			
Dead1	ine for Comments: Aug 16 2010				
Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Sep 24 2010					
		Response:			
1)	$\hfill\Box$ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following	owing regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
2)	☐ While neither specifically consistent neguide listed in the comment section.	or inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development			
3)	☐ While neither specifically consistent no guide listed in the comment section.	or inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development			
4)	$\hfill\Box$ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with t	the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
5)	\Box The proposal does NOT relate to any definition	evelopment guide for which this division is responsible.			
6)	☐Staff wishes to confer with the applican	t for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
COMMENTS:					