

# **REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION**

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

**DATE:** Jun 25 2010 **ARC Review Code:** P1006253

TO: Mayor Gene Hobgood

ATTN TO: Ken Patton, Community Development Director

FROM: Charles Krautler, Director

NOTE: This is digital signature.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2010 City of Canton CIE Study Amendment

**Review Type:** Local Comprehensive Plan

**Description:** The 2010 City of Canton CIE Study Amendment

Submitting Local Government: City of Canton

**Action Under Consideration:** Approval

Date Opened: Jun 25 2010

**Deadline for Comments:** Jul 16 2010

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Aug 24 2010

#### THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
CITY OF CANTON
CHEROKEE COUNTY

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CITY OF WOODSTOCK
CITY OF HOLLY SPRINGS

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA ENV. FACILITIES AUTHORITY
CHEROKEE COUNTY SCHOOLS
CITY OF BALL GROUND

## Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Jul 16 2010, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <a href="http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse">http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</a> .



# REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

### NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Governmen	City of Canton	Date Received:	Jun 25 2010
Local Contact:	Ken Patton, Community Development Director City of Canton	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2010-07-20 00:00:00
Phone:	770-594-6176	E-Mail:	
Fax:		Website:	
Street	38 Hill Street	City State, Zip:	Roswell, Georgia 30075
	<b>Department of Comm</b>	unity Affairs	Review Required
Review Title:	2010 City of Canton CIE Stu	ıdy Amendmen	t
Description	The 2010 City of Canton CIE Study Amendment  Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: <a href="http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse">http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</a> Under Plan Review, search for the City of Canton.		
The submit	ted documents are available	e for review a	t ARC and the local government.
Reviewing	Regional Development Cent	ter:	
40 Courtland	onal Commission I Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 63.3302 FAX 404.463.3254		
Person:	Jared Lombard AICP, Principal	Planner	
E-Mail	jlombard@atlantaregional.com		

### ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE	: Jun 25 2010	ARC REVIEW CODE: P1006253		
TO: FROM	ARC Land Use, Environmental, Tra  f: Haley Fleming, Review Coordinato	nsportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs r, Extension: 3-3311		
Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:				
	<u>Use:</u> Lombard, Jared	<u>Transportation:</u> Kray, Michael		
	onmental: Santo, Jim <u>:</u> Rader, Carolyn	Research: Skinner, Jim		
Review Descri Submi Date (	of Proposal: 2010 City of Canton CIE Stow Type: Local Comprehensive Plan Sption: The 2010 City of Canton CIE Study A Sptiting Local Government: City of Canton City City City Of Canton City City City City City City City City	mendment ton		
		Response:		
		-		
1)	☐ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the fol	llowing regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
1) 2)	•	llowing regional development guide listed in the comment section.  nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development		
	☐ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.			
2)	<ul> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> </ul>	nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development		
2)	<ul> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with</li> </ul>	nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development		
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li></ul>	<ul> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with</li> <li>□ The proposal does NOT relate to any or t</li></ul>	nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.		
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li><li>5)</li></ul>	<ul> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with</li> <li>□ The proposal does NOT relate to any or t</li></ul>	nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. development guide for which this division is responsible.		
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li><li>5)</li></ul>	<ul> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with</li> <li>□ The proposal does NOT relate to any or t</li></ul>	nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. development guide for which this division is responsible.		
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li><li>5)</li></ul>	<ul> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with</li> <li>□ The proposal does NOT relate to any or t</li></ul>	nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. development guide for which this division is responsible.		
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li><li>5)</li></ul>	<ul> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with</li> <li>□ The proposal does NOT relate to any or t</li></ul>	nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. development guide for which this division is responsible.		
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li><li>5)</li></ul>	<ul> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with</li> <li>□ The proposal does NOT relate to any or t</li></ul>	nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. development guide for which this division is responsible.		
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li><li>5)</li></ul>	<ul> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with</li> <li>□ The proposal does NOT relate to any or t</li></ul>	nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. development guide for which this division is responsible.		
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li><li>5)</li></ul>	<ul> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with</li> <li>□ The proposal does NOT relate to any or t</li></ul>	nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. development guide for which this division is responsible.		
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li><li>5)</li></ul>	<ul> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with</li> <li>□ The proposal does NOT relate to any or t</li></ul>	nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. development guide for which this division is responsible.		
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li><li>5)</li></ul>	<ul> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ While neither specifically consistent is guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with</li> <li>□ The proposal does NOT relate to any or t</li></ul>	nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. development guide for which this division is responsible.		