

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Jul 6 2010 ARC REVIEW CODE:

TO: Mayor Mickey Thompson

ATTN TO: Greg Roberts, City of Douglasville

FROM: Charles Krautler, Director

NOTE: This is digital signature.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: Douglasville Solid Waste Management Plan STWP

Review Type: Solid Waste Management Plan

Description: Five year update of the City of Douglasville's 2004–2014 Solid Waste Management Plan

Submitting Local Government: Douglas County

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: Jul 6 2010

Deadline for Comments: Jul 31 2010

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Sep 4 2010

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING POLLUTION PREV. ASST. DIV. DOUGLAS COUNTY

GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GEORGIA ENV. FACILITIES AUTHORITY

GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jon Tuley, at (404) 463-3309. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Jul 31 2010, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse.



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

			·
Submitting Local Governmen	Douglas County	Date Received:	Jul 6 2010
Local Contact:	Greg Roberts, City of Douglasville City of Douglasville	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2010-07-30 00:00:00
Phone:		E-Mail:	
Fax:		Website:	http:\\www.
Street		City State, Zip:	, Georgia
Department of Community Affairs Review Required			
Review Title: Douglasville Solid Waste Management Plan STWP			
Description	Description: Five year update of the City of Douglasville's 2004-2014 Solid Waste Manage Plan		's 2004-2014 Solid Waste Management
	Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the Douglas County.		
The submitted documents are available for review at ARC and the local government.			
Reviewing Regional Development Center:			
Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 Phone 404.463.3302 FAX 404.463.3254			
Contact Jon Tuley, Senior Planner Person: E-Mail jtuley@atlantaregional.com			
IZ M/ail			

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM **DATE:** Jul 6 2010 ARC REVIEW CODE: TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs FROM: Jon Tuley, Senior Planner 3-3309 Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction: Land Use: Tuley, Jon **Transportation:** N/A **Environmental:** N/A Research: N/A **Aging:** N/A Name of Proposal: Douglasville Solid Waste Management Plan STWP **Review Type:** Solid Waste Management Plan **Description:** Five year update of the City of Douglasville's 2004-2014 Solid Waste Management Plan **Submitting Local Government:** Douglas County Date Opened: Jul 6 2010 Deadline for Comments: Jul 31 2010 Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Sep 4 2010 Response: 1) ☐ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. $\hfill\square$ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development 2) guide listed in the comment section. 3) □ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. 4) 5) ☐ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible. □Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. 6) **COMMENTS:**