1:C

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Oct 28 2009

ARC REVIEW CODE: P10281

TO:Mayor Mark MathewsATTN TO:Darryl Simmons, Zoning AdministratorFROM:Charles Krautler, Director

NOTE: This is digital signature.

Ariginal on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

<u>Name of Proposal:</u> 2009 City of Kennesaw CIE Study Amendement <u>Review Type:</u> Local Comprehensive Plan

Description:

<u>Submitting Local Government</u>: City of Kennesaw <u>Action Under Consideration</u>: Approval <u>Date Opened</u>: Oct 28 2009 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: Nov 18 2009 <u>Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed</u>: Dec 27 2009

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING ARC DATA RESEARCH GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY CITY OF ACWORTH ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CITY OF KENNESAW CITY MARRIETTA ARC Environmental Planning Georgia Department of Community Affairs Georgia Env. Facilities Authority Cobb County

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Nov 18 2009, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged. The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse.



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

| Submitting Local Government: | City of Kennesaw | Date Received: | Oct 28 2009 | |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|--|
| Local Contact: | Darryl Simmons, Zoning Administrator City of Kennesaw | Public Hearing Date and Time: | 2009-11-23 09:15 AM | |
| Phone: | 770-590-8268 | E-Mail: | dsimmons@kennesaw-ga.gov | |
| Fax: | | Website: | | |
| Street | 2529 J.O. Stephenson Ave | City State, Zip: | Kennesaw, GA 30144 | |
| | Department of Commu | unity Affairs | Review Required | |
| Review Title: | 2009 City of Kennesaw CIE Study Amendement | | | |
| Description: | | | | |
| | Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: <u>http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</u> Under Plan Review, search for the City of Kennesaw. | | | |

Reviewing Regional Development Center:

Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 Phone 404.463.3302 FAX 404.463.3254

| Contact | Jared Lombard, Senior Planner |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| Person: | |
| E-Mail | jlombard@atlantaregional.com |

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: Oct 28 2009

ARC REVIEW CODE: P10281

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division ChiefsFROM: Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, Extension: 3-3311

<u>Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:</u>

Land Use: Lombard, Jared Environmental: Santo, Jim Aging: Rader, Carolyn <u>**Transportation:</u>** Kray, Michael <u>**Research:**</u> Skinner, Jim</u>

Name of Proposal: 2009 City of Kennesaw CIE Study Amendement Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan Description: Submitting Local Government: City of Kennesaw Date Opened: Oct 28 2009

Deadline for Comments: Nov 18 2009

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Dec 27 2009

| Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible. Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. | | Response: | | | | |
|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| guide listed in the comment section. While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible. Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. | 1) | □ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. | | | | |
| 3) □ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. 4) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible. 6) □Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. | 2) | | | | | |
| 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible. 6) □Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. | 3) | □ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development | | | | |
| 6) | 4) | □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. | | | | |
| | 5) | □ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible. | | | | |
| COMMENTS: | 6) | \Box Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. | | | | |
| | COMMENTS: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |