

## **REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION**

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Oct 2 2009 ARC REVIEW CODE: P91021

**TO**: Mayor Joe Murphy

ATTN TO: Sebastian Jackson, City Manager

FROM: Charles Krautler, Director (

NOTE: This is digital signature.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: City of Lovejoy 2009 Partial Update

**Review Type:** Local Comprehensive Plan

**Description:** The 2009 City of Lovejoy Partial Update of their Comprehensive Plan

Submitting Local Government: City of Lovejoy

**Action Under Consideration:** Approval

Date Opened: Oct 2 2009

Deadline for Comments: Oct 23 2009

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Dec 1 2009

#### THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
CLAYTON COUNTY
CITY OF JONESBORO

GEORGIA ENV. FACILITIES AUTHORITY

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CLAYTON COUNTY SCHOOLS
CITY OF RIVERDALE
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

ARC Environmental Planning
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
CITY OF LOVEJOY
CITY OF MORROW
CITY OF FOREST PARK

### Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Oct 23 2009, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <a href="http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse">http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</a> .



# REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

#### NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Governmen	City of Lovejoy	Date Received:	Oct 2 2009
Local Contact:	Sebastian Jackson, City Manager City of Lovejoy	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2009-10-27 09:00 AM
Phone:	770-471-2304	E-Mail:	S_jackson@cityoflovejoy.com
Fax:	770-471-6499	Website:	http:\\www.
Street	PO Box 220	City State, Zip:	Lovejoy, GA 30250
	Department of Comr	nunity Affairs	Review Required
Review Title	City of Lovejoy 2009 Parti	al Update	
Description	Document can be viewed of http://www.atlantaregion Under Plan Review, search	on the ARC websi al.com/landuse	te at:
The submit	ted documents are availab	ole for review a	t ARC and the local government.
Reviewing	Regional Development Cer	nter:	
40 Courtland	onal Commission l Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 63.3302 FAX 404.463.3254	3	
Person:	Jared Lombard, Senior Planne		
E-Mail	jlombard@atlantaregional.con	<u>n</u>	

## ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE	:: Oct 2 2009	ARC REVIEW CODE: P91021
TO:	ARC Land Use, Environmen  M: Haley Fleming, Review Coo	tal, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs
1101	11 Training, Review Goo	Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:
<u>Land</u>	<u>Use:</u> Lombard, Jared	<b>Transportation:</b> Smiley, Kenyata
	onmental: Santo, Jim	Research: Skinner, Jim
Aging	<u>g:</u> Rader, Carolyn	
Revie Descr Subm	e of Proposal: City of Lovejoy 200 w Type: Local Comprehensive Pl iption: The 2009 City of Lovejoy Par itting Local Government: City Opened: Oct 2 2009	an rtial Update of their Comprehensive Plan
Dead	line for Comments: Oct 23 200	
Deau.	<u> </u>	
<u>Earlie</u>	est the Regional Review can be	Completed: Dec 1 2009
		Response:
1)	□ Proposal is CONSISTENT wit	Response:  h the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
1) 2)	-	h the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  sistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
	☐ While neither specifically corguide listed in the comment sect	th the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
2)	☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect	th the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
2)	☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ The proposal is INCONSISTE	th the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development ction.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development ction.
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li></ul>	☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ The proposal is INCONSISTE☐ The proposal does NOT related	th the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development action.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development action.  In with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li><li>5)</li></ul>	☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ The proposal is INCONSISTE☐ The proposal does NOT related	h the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  In with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  In the to any development guide for which this division is responsible.  In applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li><li>5)</li></ul>	☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ The proposal is INCONSISTE☐ The proposal does NOT related	h the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  In with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  In the to any development guide for which this division is responsible.  In applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li><li>5)</li></ul>	☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ The proposal is INCONSISTE☐ The proposal does NOT related	h the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  In with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  In the to any development guide for which this division is responsible.  In applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li><li>5)</li></ul>	☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ The proposal is INCONSISTE☐ The proposal does NOT related	h the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  In with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  In the to any development guide for which this division is responsible.  In applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li><li>5)</li></ul>	☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ The proposal is INCONSISTE☐ The proposal does NOT related	h the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  In with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  In the to any development guide for which this division is responsible.  In applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li><li>5)</li></ul>	☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ The proposal is INCONSISTE☐ The proposal does NOT related	h the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  In with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  In the to any development guide for which this division is responsible.  In applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.
<ul><li>2)</li><li>3)</li><li>4)</li><li>5)</li></ul>	☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ While neither specifically conguide listed in the comment sect☐ The proposal is INCONSISTE☐ The proposal does NOT related	h the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  Insistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development tion.  In with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.  In the to any development guide for which this division is responsible.  In applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.