

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: May 11 2009 **ARC REVIEW CODE:** P905111

TO: Mayor Joe Lockwood

ATTN TO: Chris Lagerbloom, City Manager

FROM: Charles Krautler, Director (

NOTE: This is digital signature.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: 2008-2018 City of Milton Solid Waste Management Plan

Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The 2008-2018 City of Milton Solid Waste Management Plan

Submitting Local Government: City of Milton

Action Under Consideration: Approval

Date Opened: May 11 2009

Deadline for Comments: Jun 1 2009

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Jul 10 2009

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
P2AD

CITY OF ALPHARETTA FORSYTH COUNTY

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CITY OF JOHNS CREEK
CHEROKEE COUNTY
CITY OF ROSWELL

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA ENV. FACILITIES AUTHORITY
FULTON COUNTY
GEORGIA MOUNTAINS RDC

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jared Lombard, at (404) 463-3302. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Jun 1 2009, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse .



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Governmen	City of Milton	Date Received:	May 11 2009
Local Contact:	Chris Lagerbloom, City Manager City of Milton	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2009-06-05 09:00 AM
Phone:	678-242-2500	E-Mail:	chris.lagerbloom@cityofmiltonga.us
Fax:		Website:	
Street	13000 Deerfield Parkway	City State, Zip:	Milton, Georgia 30004
	Department of Comm	unity Affairs	Review Required
Review Title:	2008-2018 City of Milton So	olid Waste Man	agement Plan
Description	The 2008-2018 City of Milton Solid Waste Management Plan Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse Under Plan Review, search for the City of Milton.		
			t ARC and the local government.
Reviewing	Regional Development Cent	er:	
40 Courtland	onal Commission I Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303 63.3302 FAX 404.463.3254		
Person:	Jared Lombard, Senior Planner		
E-Mail	jlombard@atlantaregional.com		

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE	: May 11 2009	ARC REVIEW CODE: P905111
TO: FROM	ARC Land Use, Environmental, T	Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs
	<u> </u>	Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:
I and I	<u>Use:</u> Tuley, Jon	Transportation: Keyes, Laura
	onmental: Santo, Jim	Research: Skinner, Jim
Aging		
Review Descri	of Proposal: 2008-2018 City of Milton w Type: Local Comprehensive Plan iption: The 2008-2018 City of Milton Soliciting Local Government: City of MDpened: May 11 2009	d Waste Management Plan
Deadi	line for Comments: Jun 1 2009	
	st the Regional Review can be Com	<u>ıpleted:</u> Jul 10 2009
		Response:
		•
1)	-	following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
1) 2)	-	•
	☐ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section.	following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)	 □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. 	following regional development guide listed in the comment section. nt nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
2) 3)	 □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT w 	following regional development guide listed in the comment section. Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development
2)3)4)	 □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT w □ The proposal does NOT relate to an 	following regional development guide listed in the comment section. Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)3)4)5)	 □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT w □ The proposal does NOT relate to an 	following regional development guide listed in the comment section. Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)3)4)5)	 □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT w □ The proposal does NOT relate to an 	following regional development guide listed in the comment section. Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)3)4)5)	 □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT w □ The proposal does NOT relate to an 	following regional development guide listed in the comment section. Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)3)4)5)	 □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT w □ The proposal does NOT relate to an 	following regional development guide listed in the comment section. Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)3)4)5)	 □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT w □ The proposal does NOT relate to an 	following regional development guide listed in the comment section. Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)3)4)5)	 □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT w □ The proposal does NOT relate to an 	following regional development guide listed in the comment section. Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)3)4)5)	 □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT w □ The proposal does NOT relate to an 	following regional development guide listed in the comment section. Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
2)3)4)5)	 □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ While neither specifically consister guide listed in the comment section. □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT w □ The proposal does NOT relate to an 	following regional development guide listed in the comment section. Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development Int nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. In the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.