



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax: 404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Feb 6 2009

ARC REVIEW CODE: A902061

TO: Potentially Affected Local Governments and Other Interested Parties
FROM: Charles Krautler, Director

NOTE: This is digital signature.
Original on file.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: Draft EA: Runway 9L-27R Extension
Review Type: Airport

Description: Draft EA: Runway 9L-27R Extension, Modified Departure Procedures, and Associated Projects - Hartsfield-Jackson International Airport. The proposed project consists of two components. One component is the 500-foot extension of Runway 9L-27R. The second is to modify three existing departure headings, add one, and use Cross Complex departures. The runway extension will allow certain internationally departing aircraft to depart at heavier weights, as well as allow others to fly to farther destinations nonstop. The departure heading modifications and one heading addition will result in decreased departure delay and aircraft fuel consumption.

Submitting Local Government: City of Atlanta

Date Opened: Feb 6 2009

Deadline for Comments: Feb 19 2009

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Feb 19 2009

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
CITY OF ATLANTA
FULTON COUNTY SCHOOLS
CITY OF COLLEGE PARK
DEKALB COUNTY

ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
ARC AGING DIVISION
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CITY OF ATLANTA SCHOOLS
CLAYTON COUNTY
CITY OF FOREST PARK
METRO ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
FULTON COUNTY
CLAYTON COUNTY SCHOOLS
CITY OF HAPEVILLE

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jon Tuley at (404) 463-3309. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Feb 19 2009, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse> .

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: Feb 6 2009

ARC REVIEW CODE: A902061

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs

FROM: Jon Tuley, Extension: 3-3309

Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

Land Use: Calvert, Brad

Transportation: Kray, Michael

Environmental: Santo, Jim

Research: Skinner, Jim

Aging: Rader, Carolyn

Name of Proposal: Draft EA: Runway 9L-27R Extension

Review Type: Airport

Description: Draft EA: Runway 9L-27R Extension, Modified Departure Procedures, and Associated Projects - Hartsfield-Jackson International Airport. The proposed project consists of two components. One component is the 500-foot extension of Runway 9L-27R. The second is to modify three existing departure headings, add one, and use Cross Complex departures. The runway extension will allow certain internationally departing aircraft to depart at heavier weights, as well as allow others to fly to farther destinations nonstop. The departure heading modifications and one heading addition will result in decreased departure delay and aircraft fuel consumption.

Submitting Local Government: City of Atlanta

Date Opened: Feb 6 2009

Deadline for Comments: Feb 19 2009

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Feb 19 2009

Response:

- 1) ☐ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 2) ☐ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 3) ☐ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 4) ☐ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 5) ☐ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.
- 6) ☐ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.

COMMENTS:
