**1:C** 

# **REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION**

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

#### **DATE:** Nov 5 2008

# ARC REVIEW CODE: P811051

TO:Mayor Eva GalambosATTN TO:Pattrice Ruffin,FROM:Charles Krautler, Director

harles Krauth

NOTE: This is digital signature. Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

<u>Name of Proposal:</u> City of Sandy Springs 2008 CIE/STWP Update <u>Review Type:</u> Local Comprehensive Plan

**Description:** The 2008 Update of the City of Sandy Springs Capital Improvement Element and Short Term Work Program

<u>Submitting Local Government</u>: City of Sandy Springs <u>Action Under Consideration</u>: Approval <u>Date Opened</u>: Nov 5 2008 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: Nov 26 2008 <u>Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed</u>: Jan 4 2008

#### THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING ARC DATA RESEARCH GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY DEKALB COUNTY CITY OF JOHNS CREEK ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CITY OF ROSWELL COBB COUNTY CITY OF MILTON ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GEORGIA ENV. FACILITIES AUTHORITY CITY OF ALPHARETTA GWINNETT COUNTY

### Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463–3311. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Nov 26 2008, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <u>http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</u>.



# **REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION**

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

# NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government:	City of Sandy Springs	Date Received:	Nov 5 2008		
Local Contact:	Pattrice Ruffin, City of Sandy Springs	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2008-12-01 09:00 AM		
Phone:	770-730-5600	E-Mail:			
Fax:		Website:			
Street	7840 Roswell Road	City State, Zip:	Sandy Springs, GA		
	Department of Comn		Review Required		
Review Title:	City of Sandy Springs 2008 CIE/STWP Update				
Description:	The 2008 Update of the City of Sandy Springs Capital Improvement Element and Short Term Work Program				
	Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: <u>http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</u> Under Plan Review, search for the City of Sandy Springs.				
The submitte	d documents are availab	le for review a	t ARC and the local government.		
Reviewing Re	gional Development Cen	iter:			
Atlanta Regiona 40 Courtland St	ll Commission creet, NE Atlanta, GA. 30303	}			

Phone 404.463.3302 FAX 404.463.3254

Contact	ct Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator	
Person:		
E-Mail	hfleming@atlantaregional.com	

# ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: Nov 5 2008

### ARC REVIEW CODE:

**TO:** ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs **FROM:** Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, Extension: 3-3311

#### Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

Land Use: Lombard, Jared Environmental: Santo, Jim Aging: N/A <u>**Transportation:</u>** Hammond, Regan <u>**Research:**</u> Skinner, Jim</u>

Name of Proposal: City of Sandy Springs 2008 CIE/STWP Update Review Type: Description: The 2008 Update of the City of Sandy Springs Capital Improvement Element and Short Term Work Program Submitting Local Government: City of Sandy Springs Date Opened: Nov 5 2008

**Deadline for Comments:** Nov 26 2008

#### Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Jan 4 2008

<ol> <li>Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.</li> <li>Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.</li> </ol>	Response:				
<ul> <li>guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.</li> <li>Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.</li> </ul>	1)	□ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
<ul> <li>guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>4) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.</li> <li>5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.</li> <li>6) □Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.</li> </ul>	2)				
<ul> <li>5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.</li> <li>6) □Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.</li> </ul>	3)				
6)	4)	□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
	5)	□ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.			
COMMENTS:	6)	$\Box$ Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
	<b>COMMENTS:</b>				