**1:**C

# **REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION**

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

#### **DATE:** Oct 28 2008

#### ARC REVIEW CODE: R810281

TO:Mayor Shirley FranklinATTN TO:Shelley Peart, City of AtlantaFROM:Charles Krautler, Director (



NOTE: This is digital signature. Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

<u>Name of Proposal:</u> 1200 Foster Street <u>Review Type:</u> Development of Regional Impact

**Description:** The proposed 1200 Foster Street development is a mixed use development located on 11.74 acres in the City of Atlanta. The proposed development will consist of 466 residential units, 58,000 square feet of retail space and 87,000 square feet of office space. The proposed development is located on Foster Street, west of Howell Mill Road.

<u>Submitting Local Government</u>: City of Atlanta <u>Date Opened</u>: Oct 28 2008 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: Nov 11 2008 <u>Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed</u>: Nov 27 2008

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING ARC DATA RESEARCH GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES CITY OF ATLANTA SCHOOLS ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION METRO ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY BELTLINE INC.

#### Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jon Tuley at (404) 463–3309. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Nov 11 2008, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged. The ARC review website is located at: <a href="http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse">http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</a>.



# **REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION**

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com



Individual Completing form

## **DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT**

# **DRI-** REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Development Center for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient project of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to have impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is actually located, such as adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included on this form and give us your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to the RDC on or before the specified return deadline.

Preliminary Findings of the RDC: <u>1200 Foster Street</u> See the Preliminary Report.

Comments from affected party (attach additional sheets as needed):

Local Government:	Please Return this form to:     Jon Tuley, Atlanta Regional Commission
Department:	40 Courtland Street NE Atlanta, GA 30303 Ph. (404) 463-3309 Fax (404) 463-3254
Felephone: ( )	<u>ituley@atlantaregional.com</u>
Signature: Date:	Return Date: Nov 11 2008

### ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

**DATE:** Oct 28 2008

#### ARC REVIEW CODE: R810281

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs **FROM:** Jon Tuley, Extension: 3-3309

### Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

Land Use: Calvert, Brad Environmental: Santo, Jim Aging: Rader, Carolyn <u>**Transportation:</u></u> Kray, Michael <u><b>Research:**</u> Skinner, Jim</u>

Name of Proposal: 1200 Foster Street

**<u>Review Type:</u>** Development of Regional Impact

**Description:** The proposed 1200 Foster Street development is a mixed use development located on 11.74 acres in the City of Atlanta. The proposed development will consist of 466 residential units, 58,000 square feet of retail space and 87,000 square feet of office space. The proposed development is located on Foster Street, west of Howell Mill Road.

Submitting Local Government: City of Atlanta

Date Opened: Oct 28 2008

**Deadline for Comments:** Nov 11 2008

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Nov 27 2008

	Response:					
1)	□ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.					
2)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.					
3)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.					
4)	$\Box$ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.					
5)	□ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.					
6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. <b>COMMENTS:</b>					

### PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:

The proposed 1200 Foster Street development is a mixed use development located on 11.74 acres in the City of Atlanta. The proposed development will consist of 466 residential units, 58,000 square feet of retail space and 87,000 square feet of office space. The proposed development is located on Foster Street, west of Howell Mill Road.

### **PROJECT PHASING**:

The project is being proposed in one phase with a project build out date for 2011.

### **GENERAL**

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

# Is the proposed project consistent with the host-local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

The project site is currently zoned I-2. The proposed zoning for the site is PD-MU. Information submitted for the review states that the City of Atlanta's Future Land Use Plan designates this area as mixed-use.

Is the proposed project consistent with any potentially affected local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments

# Will the proposed project impact the implementation of any local government's short-term work program? If so, how?

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments

# Will the proposed project generate population and/or employment increases in the Region? If yes, what would be the major infrastructure and facilities improvements needed to support the increase?

Yes, the proposed development would increase the need for services in the area for existing and future residents.



1200 Foster Street

November 11, 2008

#1932

Preliminary	October 28,			
Report:	2008			
Final Report	November			
Due:	27, 2008			

Preliminary Report:	October 28, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	1200 Foster Street #1932
Final Report Due:	November 27, 2008	<u>Review Report</u>	Comments Due By:	November 11, 2008

#### What other major development projects are planned near the proposed project?

The ARC has reviewed other major development projects, known as Area Plan (1984 to1991) or as a DRI (1991 to present), within a mile radius of the proposed project.

Year	Name
2008	Northside Tract
2007	Huff Road Assemblage
2007	643 Tenth Street
2005	1033 Jefferson Street
2001	Winter Properties Marietta Blvd MUD
2000	Midtown West Marietta Street MUD
1997	Atlantic Steel

# Will the proposed project displace housing units or community facilities? If yes, identify and give number of units, facilities, etc.

No, the proposed development will not displace any housing or community facilities. According to information submitted for the review, the site is currently occupied by old industrial uses which will be redeveloped.

#### Will the development cause a loss in jobs? If yes, how many?

No.

#### Is the proposed development consistent with regional plans and policies?

The proposed development meets many of ARC's Regional Development Policies, and is generally consistent with the Atlanta Region Unified Growth Policy Map. The proposed development is located in an Urban Neighborhood which is defined as distinct areas that are located in an urban area. They may have a small commercial component that serves the local area. The proposed development is also located immediately adjacent to areas designated as Mega Corridors which are defined as the most intensely developed radial corridors in the region.

The proposed development is located within the Upper Westside Livable Centers Initiative (LCI) Study area. Therefore, the proposed development should meet or exceed the goals of the LCI Study. The LCI plan calls for land uses in this area to be mixed and relatively dense, with an emphasis on pedestrianoriented retail, housing, live-work units and offices along Marietta Street, Howell Mill Road and Northside Drive. The urban design character of this area emphasizes a high quality pedestrian realm reinforced by restored industrial structures and contemporary mixed use buildings that reflect the materials, scale and building relationships of the historic fabric.

The proposed development is also located adjacent to the BeltLine corridor. The developer should work with the City of Atlanta to ensure that the proposed development is in line with BeltLine plans and policies.



#### PRELIMINARY REPORT

#### **Regional Development Plan Policies**

- 1. Provide sustainable economic growth in all areas of the region.
- 2. Encourage new homes and jobs within existing developed areas of the region, focusing on principal transportation corridors, the Central Business District, activity centers, and town centers.
- 3. Increase opportunities for mixed use development, transit-oriented development, infill, and redevelopment.
- 4. At strategic regional locations, plan and retail industrial and freight land uses.
- 5. Design transportation infrastructure to protect the context of adjoining development and provide a sense of place appropriate for our communities.
- 6. Promote the reclamation of Brownfield development sites.
- 7. Protect the character and integrity of existing neighborhoods, while also meeting the needs of communities to grow.
- 8. Encourage a variety of homes styles, densities, and price ranges in locations that are accessible to jobs and services to ensure housing for individuals and families of all incomes and age groups.
- 9. Promote new communities that feature greenspace and neighborhood parks, pedestrian scale, support transportation options, and provide an appropriate mix of uses and housing types.
- 10. Promote sustainable and energy efficient development.
- 11. Protect environmentally-sensitive areas including wetlands, floodplains, small water supply watersheds, rivers and stream corridors.
- 12. Increase the amount, quality, and connectivity, and accessibility of greenspace.
- 13. Provide strategies to preserve and enhance historic resources
- 14. Through regional infrastructure planning, limit growth in undeveloped areas of the region
- 15. Assist local governments to adopt growth management strategies that make more efficient use of existing infrastructure.
- 16. Inform and involve the public in planning at regional, local, and neighborhood levels.
- 17. Coordinate local policies and regulations to support Regional Policies
- 18. Encourage the development of state and regional growth management policy.

#### **BEST LAND USE PRACTICES**

Practice 1: Keep vehicle miles of travel (VMT) below the area average. Infill developments are the best at accomplishing this. The more remote a development the more self contained it must be to stay below the area average VMT.

Practice 2: Contribute to the area's jobs-housing balance. Strive for a job-housing balance with a three to five mile area around a development site.

Practice 3: Mix land uses at the finest grain the market will bear and include civic uses in the mix.



Practice 4: Develop in clusters and keep the clusters small. This will result in more open space preservation. Practice 5: Place higher-density housing near commercial centers, transit lines and parks. This will enable more walking, biking and transit use.

Practice 6: Phase convenience shopping and recreational opportunities to keep pace with housing. These are valued amenities and translate into less external travel by residents if located conveniently to housing.

Practice 7: Make subdivisions into neighborhoods with well-defined centers and edges. This is traditional development.

Practice 8: Reserve school sites and donate them if necessary to attract new schools. This will result in neighborhood schools which provide a more supportive learning environment than larger ones.

Practice 9: Concentrate commercial development in compact centers or districts, rather than letting it spread out in strips.

Practice 10: Make shopping centers and business parks into all-purpose activity centers. Suburban shopping centers and their environs could be improved by mixing uses and designing them with the pedestrian amenities of downtowns.

Practice 11: Tame auto-oriented land uses, or at least separate them from pedestrian-oriented uses. Relegate "big box" stores to areas where they will do the least harm to the community fabric.

#### BEST TRANSPORTATION PRACTICES

Practice 1: Design the street network with multiple connections and relatively direct routes.

Practice 2: Space through-streets no more than a half mile apart, or the equivalent route density in a curvilinear network.

Practice 3: Use traffic-calming measures liberally. Use short streets, sharp curves, center islands, traffic circles, textured pavements, speed bumps and raised crosswalks.

Practice 4: Keep speeds on local streets down to 20 mph.

Practice 5: Keep speeds on arterials and collectors down to 35 mph (at least inside communities).

Practice 6: Keep all streets as narrow as possible and never more than four traffic lanes wide. Florida suggests access streets 18 feet, subcollectors 26 feet, and collectors from 28 feet to 36 feet depending on lanes and parking. Practice 7: Align streets to give buildings energy-efficient orientations. Allow building sites to benefit from sun angles, natural shading and prevailing breezes.

Practice 8: Avoid using traffic signals wherever possible and always space them for good traffic progression.

Practice 9: Provide networks for pedestrians and bicyclists as good as the network for motorists.

Practice 10: Provide pedestrians and bicyclists with shortcuts and alternatives to travel along high-volume streets. Practice 11: Incorporate transit-oriented design features.

Practice 12: Establish TDM programs for local employees. Ridesharing, modified work hours, telecommuting and others.

#### BEST ENVIRONMENTAL PRACTICES

Practice 1: Use a systems approach to environmental planning. Shift from development orientation to basins or ecosystems planning.

Practice 2: Channel development into areas that are already disturbed.

Practice 3: Preserve patches of high-quality habitat, as large and circular as possible, feathered at the edges and connected by wildlife corridors. Stream corridors offer great potential.

Practice 4: Design around significant wetlands.

Practice 5: Establish upland buffers around all retained wetlands and natural water bodies.

Practice 6: Preserve significant uplands, too.

Practice 7: Restore and enhance ecological functions damaged by prior site activities.

Practice 8: Detain runoff with open, natural drainage systems. The more natural the system the more valuable it will be for wildlife and water quality.

Practice 9: Design man-made lakes and stormwater ponds for maximum environmental value. Recreation, stormwater management, wildlife habitat and others.



Preliminary	October 28,		
Report:	2008		
Final Report	November		
Due:	27, 2008		

Practice 10: Use reclaimed water and integrated pest management on large landscaped areas. Integrated pest management involves controlling pests by introducing their natural enemies and cultivating disease and insect resistant grasses.

Practice 11: Use and require the use of Xeriscape<sup>™</sup> landscaping. Xeriscaping<sup>™</sup> is water conserving landscape methods and materials.

#### **BEST HOUSING PRACTICES**

Practice 1: Offer "life cycle" housing. Providing integrated housing for every part of the "life cycle". Practice 2: Achieve an average net residential density of six to seven units per acre without the appearance of crowding. Cluster housing to achieve open space.

Practice 3: Use cost-effective site development and construction practices. Small frontages and setbacks; rolled curbs or no curbs; shared driveways.

Practice 4: Design of energy-saving features. Natural shading and solar access.

Practice 5: Supply affordable single-family homes for moderate-income households.

Practice 6: Supply affordable multi-family and accessory housing for low-income households.

Practice 7: Tap government housing programs to broaden and deepen the housing/income mix.

Practice 8: Mix housing to the extent the market will bear.

#### **LOCATION**

#### Where is the proposed project located within the host-local government's boundaries?

The proposed development is located in the City of Atlanta on Foster Street, south of Huff Road and west of Howell Mill Road.

# Will the proposed project be located close to the host-local government's boundary with another local government? If yes, identify the other local government.

The proposed development is entirely within the City of Atlanta.

Will the proposed project be located close to land uses in other jurisdictions that would benefit, or be negatively impacted, by the project? Identify those land uses which would benefit and those which would be negatively affected and describe impacts.

To be determined during the review.

#### **ECONOMY OF THE REGION**

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

#### What new taxes will be generated by the proposed project?

Estimated value of the development is \$101,567,577 with an expected \$1,190,000 in annual local tax revenues.



Preliminary Report:	October 28, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	1200 Foster Street #1932
Final Report Due:	November 27, 2008	<u>Review Report</u>	Comments Due By:	November 11, 2008

#### How many short-term jobs will the development generate in the Region?

Short-term jobs will depend upon construction schedule.

#### Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?

Yes.

In what ways could the proposed development have a positive or negative impact on existing industry or business in the Region?

To be determined during the review.

#### NATURAL RESOURCES

#### Watershed Protection and Stream Buffers

The property is in the Chattahoochee River watershed but is not in the 2000-foot Chattahoochee River Corridor. It drains into Proctor Creek, which enters the Chattahoochee downstream of the Region water intakes and therefore is not in a water supply watershed for the Atlanta Region.

The USGS coverage for the area shows no streams on or near the property. Any unmapped streams that may be on the property will be subject to the City of Atlanta's stream buffer ordinance, which requires a 75-foot buffer along perennial and intermittent streams. Any state waters that may be on the property will be subject to the 25-foot Erosion and Sedimentation Act buffers.

#### Stormwater / Water Quality

The project property is currently partly developed. The proposed project will have more impervious surface than currently exists on the property. The site is in a dense urban area and stormwater may be handled by the City stormwater system. If on-site stormwater detention is provided, the project design should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. The amount of pollutants that will be produced after construction of the proposed development has been estimated by ARC. These are based on some simplifying assumptions for typical pollutant loading factors (lbs/ac/yr) from typical land uses in the Atlanta Region. The loading factors are based on regional storm water monitoring data from the Atlanta Region with impervious areas based on estimated averages for land uses in the Atlanta Region. If actual impervious percentages are higher or lower than the estimate, the pollutant loads will differ accordingly. Given the coverage of the proposed project, commercial was chosen as the use for the entire property. The following table summarizes the results of the analysis:

Land Use	Land Area (ac)	Total Phosphorus	Total Nitrogen	BOD	TSS	Zinc	Lead
Commercial	13.57	23.20	236.12	1465.56	13339.31	16.69	2.99
TOTAL	13.57	23.20	236.12	1465.56	13339.31	16.69	2.99

#### **Estimated Pounds of Pollutants Per Year**

Total Impervious = 85%



Preliminary Report:	October 28, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	1200 Foster Street #1932
Final Report	November	<u>Review Report</u>	Comments	November 11, 2008
Due:	27, 2008		Due By:	

If on-site detention is used, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (<u>www.georgiastormwater.com</u>) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

#### **HISTORIC RESOURCES**

Will the proposed project be located near a national register site? If yes, identify site.

None have been identified.

In what ways could the proposed project create impacts that would damage the resource?

Not applicable.

In what ways could the proposed project have a positive influence on efforts to preserve or promote the historic resource?

Not applicable.

# INFRASTRUCTURE

### Transportation

# How many site access points will be associated with the proposed development? What are their locations?

Two full-access driveways are proposed along Foster Street.

- The first driveway is located at an existing access point just south of the entrance to the Howard School and provides direct access to one of the on-site parking decks.
- The second driveway will be located approximately 330 feet south of driveway #1. This driveway extends into the proposed development, providing access to all proposed uses.

# How much traffic (both average daily and peak am/pm) will be generated by the proposed project?

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. performed the transportation analysis. GRTA and ARC review staff agreed with the methodology and assumptions used in the analysis. The net trip generation is based on the rates published in the 7<sup>th</sup> edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation report; they are listed in the following table:



Preliminary Report:	October 28, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	1200 Foster Street #1932
Final Report Due:	November 27, 2008	<u>Review Report</u>	Comments Due By:	November 11, 2008

	A.M. Peak Hour			P.1	24-Hour		
Land Use	Enter	Exit	2-Way	Enter	Exit	2-Way	2-Way
Residential 466 Units	46	186	232	178	96	274	2,952
Office Space 87,00 SF	148	20	168	30	146	176	1,198
Retail Space 58,000 SF	69	44	113	210	227	437	4,766
Mixed-Use Reductions	0	0	0	-60	-60	-120	-1,308
Alternative Mode Reductions	-12	-12	-24	-17	-21	-38	-380
Pass-By Reductions	0	0	0	-62	-61	-123	-1,332
Total New Trips	251	238	489	279	327	606	5,896

# What are the existing traffic patterns and volumes on the local, county, state and interstate roads that serve the site?

Incorporating the trip generation results, the transportation consultant distributed the traffic on the current roadway network. An assessment of the existing Level of Service (LOS) and projected LOS based on the trip distribution findings helps to determine the study network. The results of this exercise determined the study network, which has been approved by ARC and GRTA. If analysis of an intersection or roadway results in a substandard LOS "D", then the consultant recommends improvements.

Projected traffic volumes from the Regional Travel Demand Model are compared to the assigned capacity of facilities within the study network. This data is used to calculate a volume to capacity (V/C) ratio. The V/C ratio values that define the LOS thresholds vary depending on factors such as the type of terrain traversed and the percent of the road where passing is prohibited. LOS A is free-flow traffic from 0 to 0.3, LOS B is decreased free-flow from 0.31 to 0.5, LOS C is limited mobility from 0.51 to 0.75, LOS D is restricted mobility from 0.76 to 0.9, LOS E is at or near capacity from 0.91 to 1.00, and LOS F is breakdown flow with a V/C ratio of 1.01 or above. As a V/C ratio reaches 0.8, congestion increases. The V/C ratios for traffic in various network years are presented in the following table. Any facilities that have a V/C ratio of 1.0 or above are considered congested.

V/C Ratios – To be determined during the review.

For the V/C ratio graphic, the data is based on 2010, 2020 and 2030 AM/PM peak volume data generated from ARC's 20-county travel demand model utilizing projects from Envision6 and the FY 2008-2013 TIP. The 20-county networks are being used since they consist of the most up to date transportation networks and data. The travel demand model incorporates lane addition improvements and updates to the network as appropriate. As the life of the RTP progresses, volume and/or V/C ratio data may appear inconsistent due to (1) effect of implementation of nearby new or expanded facilities or (2) impact of socio-economic data on facility types.



Preliminary Report:	October 28, 2008	Development of Regional Impact	Project:	1200 Foster Street #1932
Final Report Due:	November 27, 2008	<u>Review Report</u>	Comments Due By:	November 11, 2008

# List the transportation improvements that would affect or be affected by the proposed project.

#### 2008-2013 TIP\*

ARC Number	Route	Type of Improvement	Scheduled Completion Year
AR-450	Beltline Transportation Corridor multi-use path *PE and ROW programmed, CST in long range*	Bicycle/Pedestrian Facility	2020

#### Envision6 RTP (Long Range Projects)\*

ARC Number	Route	Type of Improvement	Scheduled Completion Year
AR-451	Beltline Transportation Corridor transit service in the northwest quadrant	Fixed Guideway Transit Capital	2030

\*The ARC Board adopted the Envision6 RTP and FY 2008-2013 TIP on September 26<sup>th</sup>, 2007.

# Summarize the transportation improvements as recommended by consultant in the traffic study for Foster Street.

According to the findings, there will be some capacity deficiencies as a result of future year **background** traffic. The transportation consultant has made recommendations for improvements to be carried out in order to upgrade the existing level of service.

#### Howell Mill Road at 17<sup>th</sup> Street

• Install a traffic signal, if warranted

#### Northside Drive at 17<sup>th</sup> Street

• Optimize timings

### *Northside Drive at* 14<sup>th</sup> *Street*

• Optimize timings

According to the findings, there will be some capacity deficiencies as a result of future year **total** traffic. The transportation consultant has made recommendations for improvements to be carried out in order to upgrade the existing level of service.

#### Huff Road at Ellsworth Industrial Boulevard

• Provide a separate southbound right-turn lane

#### Huff Road at Foster Street

- Provide a separate northbound right-turn lane
- Install a traffic signal, if warranted, to be coordinated with the existing signal at Howell Mill Road and Huff Road



### Howell Mill Road at 17<sup>th</sup> Street

• Install a signal, if warranted

### Howell Mill Road at Huff Road

- Provide a separate eastbound right-turn lane
- Coordinate signal with Huff Road at Foster Street, if that signal is warranted

### *Northside Drive at 17<sup>th</sup> Street*

• Optimize timings

### Northside Drive at 14<sup>th</sup> Street

- Convert the southbound left-turn lane into a shared through/left lane (a third receiving lane for this modification currently exist on the south leg of the intersection)
- Optimize timings

#### Is the site served by transit? If so, describe type and level of service and how it will enhance or be enhanced by the presence of transit? Are there plans to provide or expand transit service in the vicinity of the proposed project?

Two MARTA bus routes currently operate in the vicinity of the proposed development. Route one runs on 20 minute headways along Huff Road and connects to the Georgia Aquarium, Five Points Station and Grady Hospital. Route 12 runs on 30 minute headways along Howell Mill Road and connects to Midtown Station, Cumberland Transfer Center and the Georgia Tech campus.

The planned Beltline transit way will operate to the west of the site.

# What transportation demand management strategies does the developer propose (carpool, flex-time, transit subsidy, etc.)?

No TDM strategies have been proposed by the developer.

#### The development **PASSES** the ARC's Air Quality Benchmark test.

Air Quality Impacts/Mitigation (based on ARC strategies)	Credits	Total
Where Residential is dominant, >15 units/ac	6%	6%
Where Residential is dominant, 10% Retail or		
10% Office	4%	4%
w/in 1/4 mile of Bus Stop (CCT, MARTA,		
Other)	3%	3%
Bike/ped networks that meet Mixed Use or		
Density target and connect to adjoining uses	5%	5%
Total Calculated ARC Air Quality		
Credits (15 % reduction required)		18%



Preliminary Report:	October 28, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	1200 Foster Street #1932
Final Report Due:	November 27, 2008	<u>Review Report</u>	Comments Due By:	November 11, 2008

# What are the conclusions of this review? Is the transportation system (existing and planned) capable of accommodating these trips?

Based on the traffic analysis completed by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. and projected traffic volumes derived from the ARC Travel Demand Model (TDM), the transportation system is not fully capable of accommodating the new trips generated by the proposed development and maintaining acceptable LOS standards at the studied intersections. The improvements recommended in the traffic analysis are needed and should be implemented to maintain or improve LOS standards on surface streets in the vicinity of the proposed development.

The three improvements recommended along Huff Road seem to be appropriate based on projected site trips added to those intersections.

### **INFRASTRUCTURE**

#### Wastewater and Sewage

Wastewater is estimated at 0.124 MGD based on information submitted for the review.

#### Which facility will treat wastewater from the project?

R.M Clayton will provide wastewater treatment for the proposed development.

#### What is the current permitted capacity and average annual flow to this facility?

The capacity of R.M. Clayton Site is listed below:

PERMITTED CAPACITY MMF, MGD 1	DESIGN CAPACITY MMF, MGD	2001 MMF, MGD	2008 MMF, MGD	2008 CAPACITY AVAILABLE +/-, MGD	PLANNED EXPANSION	REMARKS
No Flow Limit	122	99	120	2	None. Plan before EPD to permit plant at design capacity consistent with draft Chattahoochee River Model.	Existing Consent Decree with the U.S. EPA and Georgia EPD require CSO and SSO improvements throughout the City of Atlanta wastewater system by 2007 and 2014, respectively

MMF: Maximum Monthly Flow. Mgd: million of gallons per day.

<sup>1</sup> Source: Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District SHORT-TERM WASTEWATER CAPACITY PLAN, August 2002.



Preliminary Report:	October 28, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	1200 Foster Street #1932
Final Report Due:	November 27, 2008	<u>Review Report</u>	Comments Due By:	November 11, 2008

#### What other major developments will be served by the plant serving this project?

ARC has reviewed a number of major developments that will be served by this plant.

#### <u>INFRASTRUCTURE</u> Water Supply and Treatment

#### How much water will the proposed project demand?

Water demand is estimated at 0.165 MGD based on information submitted for the review.

# How will the proposed project's demand for water impact the water supply or treatment facilities of the jurisdiction providing the service?

Information submitted with the review suggests that there is sufficient water supply capacity available for the proposed project.

#### **INFRASTRUCTURE** Solid Waste

#### How much solid waste will be generated by the project? Where will this waste be disposed?

Information submitted with the review 1,140 tons of solid waste per year and the waste will be disposed of in the City of Atlanta.

#### Will the project create any unusual waste handling or disposal problems?

No.

#### Are there any provisions for recycling this project's solid waste.

None stated.

#### **INFRASTRUCTURE** Other facilities

According to information gained in the review process, will there be any unusual intergovernmental impacts on:

- Levels of governmental services?
- Administrative facilities?
- Schools?
- Libraries or cultural facilities?
- Fire, police, or EMS?
- Other government facilities?
- Other community services/resources (day care, health care, low income, non-English speaking, elderly, etc.)?

To be determined during the review.

### **HOUSING**

### Will the proposed project create a demand for additional housing?

No, the proposed development will add 466 new residential units.

### Will the proposed project provide housing opportunities close to existing employment centers?

Yes, once developed, this project will provide housing opportunities for existing employment centers as well as providing opportunities for individuals to live and work within close proximity to one another.

### Is there housing accessible to the project in all price ranges demanded?

The site proposed for the development is located in Census Tract 89.02. This tract had an 11 percent increase in number of housing units from 2000 to 2007 according to ARC's Population and Housing Report. The report shows that 40 percent of the housing units in this census tract are single-family, compared to 69 percent for the region; thus indicating there is a variety of multi-family housing options around the development area.

# Is it likely or unlikely that potential employees of the proposed project will be able to find affordable\* housing?

Likely, assuming the development is approved with multiple price ranges of housing.

\* Defined as 30 percent of the income of a family making 80 percent of the median income of the Region – FY 2000 median income of \$51,649 for family of 4 in Georgia.



# **Developments of Regional Impact**

**DRI Home** 

DRI Rules Thresholds

Tier Map

FAQ

Apply

View Submissions

Login

٦

## DRI #1932

determine if the project appears to meet or exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Refer to both the <u>Rules for</u> the <u>DRI Tiers and Thresholds</u> for more information.		project information that will allow the RDC to Refer to both the <u>Rules for the DRI Process</u> and
	Local Government Inforn	nation
Submitting Local Government:	Atlanta	
Individual completing form:	Shelley Peart	
Telephone:	404-330-6781	
E-mail:	speart@atlantaga.gov	
erein. If a project is to be loca		e for the accuracy of the information contained he project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the responsible for initiating the DRI review process.
	Proposed Project Inform	ation
Name of Proposed Project:	1200 Foster Street	
Location (Street Address,	1200 Foster Street, Atlanta GA	
GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description):		
GPS Coordinates, or Legal	Approx 192,000 SF of Non-Residential area ar	nd 426 Residential units.
GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description): Brief Description of Project:		nd 426 Residential units.
GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description):		nd 426 Residential units.
GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description): Brief Description of Project:	Approx 192,000 SF of Non-Residential area ar	O Wastewater Treatment
GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description): Brief Description of Project: evelopment Type: (not selected)	Approx 192,000 SF of Non-Residential area an	O Wastewater Treatment Facilities
GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description): Brief Description of Project: Development Type: (not selected) Office	Approx 192,000 SF of Non-Residential area ar	O Wastewater Treatment Facilities O Petroleum Storage Facilities O Water Supply
GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description): Brief Description of Project: evelopment Type: (not selected) Office Commercial	Approx 192,000 SF of Non-Residential area an Hotels Mixed Use Airports Attractions & Recreational Facilities	<ul> <li>Wastewater Treatment Facilities</li> <li>Petroleum Storage Facilities</li> <li>Water Supply Intakes/Reservoirs</li> </ul>
GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description): Brief Description of Project: evelopment Type: (not selected) Office Commercial Wholesale & Distributio Hospitals and Health Ca	Approx 192,000 SF of Non-Residential area an Hotels Mixed Use Airports Attractions & Recreational Facilities	<ul> <li>Wastewater Treatment Facilities</li> <li>Petroleum Storage Facilities</li> <li>Water Supply Intakes/Reservoirs</li> <li>Intermodal Terminals</li> </ul>

Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.):	426 Residential Units; 96,000 SF Office; 96,000 SF Retail
Developer:	Anthony Harper
Mailing Address:	400 Village Parkway, N.E.
Address 2:	Suuite 110
	City:Atlanta State: GA Zip:30306
Telephone:	404-523-0575
Email:	aharper@hallisterdevelopment.com
Is property owner different from developer/applicant?	◯ (not selected)
If yes, property owner:	Robert Haywood
Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction?	◯ (not selected)
If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?	
Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI?	◯ (not selected) ◯ Yes  ● No
If yes, provide the following information:	Project Name:
information.	Project ID:
The initial action being requested of the local government for this project:	<ul> <li>✓ Rezoning</li> <li>✓ Variance</li> <li>Sewer</li> <li>Water</li> <li>Permit</li> <li>Other Z-08-063</li> </ul>
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?	◯ (not selected) ◯ Yes  ● No
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?	
Estimated Project Completion Dates:	This project/phase: 2011 Overall project: 2011
Back to Top	
+	

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

Copyright © 2007 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.

# **Developments of Regional Impact**

DRI Home

DRI Rules Thresholds

Tier Map

FAQ

Apply

View Submissions

Login

#### DRI #1932

DE	VELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT Additional DRI Information
	y or county government to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the <u>s for the DRI Process</u> and the <u>DRI Tiers and Thresholds</u> for more information.
	Local Government Information
Submitting Local Atlanta Government:	
Individual completing form:	Shelley Peart
Telephone:	404-330-6781
Email:	speart@atlantaga.gov
	Project Information
Nome of Dranged Designation	1200 Foster Street
Name of Proposed Project:	1200 Poster Street
DRI ID Number: Developer/Applicant:	Anthony Harper
Telephone:	404-523-0575
Email(s):	aharper@hallisterdevelopment.com
	Additional Information Requested
Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.)	◯ (not selected) ◯ Yes
If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA?	(not selected) Yes No
If no, the official review process can n	ot start until this additional information is provided.
	Economic Development
Estimated Value at Build-Out:	~\$101,567,577.00
Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development:	~1,190,000 (could vary depending on brownfield tax credits)
Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?	◯ (not selected)
Will this development displace	

any existing uses?	◯ (not selected)			
	er of units, square feet, etc): It will displace approximately 39,000 square feet of proximately 140,000 SF of industrial space as adaptive re-use.			
	Water Supply			
Name of water supply provider for this site:	City of Atlanta			
What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	~0.165 MGD			
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	◯ (not selected)			
If no, describe any plans to expand the e Yes, based on project team knowledge a				
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	◯ (not selected) ◯ Yes			
tment provider for this				
	Wastewater Disposal			
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	City of Atlanta			
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	~0.124 MGD			
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	◯ (not selected)			
If no, describe any plans to expand exist time.	ting wastewater treatment capacity: Yes, based on project team knowledge at this			
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No			
If yes, how much additional line (in miles	s) will be required?None, based on project team knowledge at this time.			
	Land Transportation			
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	~489 AM peak hour trips and 606 PM peak hour trips			
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this	◯ (not selected)			
project?				

valiable to serve this	
roject expected to generate nnually (in tons)? sufficient landfill capacity valiable to serve this roposed project? in o, describe any plans to expand existing landfill capacity: vill any hazardous waste be enerated by the evelopment? yes, please explain: Stormwater Management vhat percentage of the site is rojected to be impervious urface once the proposed evelopment has been onstructed? Pescribe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) roject's impacts on stormwater management:underground detention <b>Environmental Quality</b> st he development located within, or likely to affect any of the following: . Water supply watersheds? . (not selected) Yes No . Significant groundwater charge areas? . Wetlands? . Wetlands? . Wetlands? . Protected mountains? . (not selected) Yes No . Protected river corridors? . (not selected) Yes No . Floodplains? . (not selected) Yes No . Floodplains? . (not selected) Yes No . Historic resources? . (not selected) Yes No . Historic resources?	
Available to serve this proposed project? If no, describe any plans to expand existing landfill capacity: Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development? If yes, please explain: Stormwater Management What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) project's impacts on stormwater management.underground detention Environmental Quality Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following: 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? 3. Wetlands? 4. Protected mountains? 5. Protected river corridors? 6. Floodplains? 7. Historic resources? 8. Other environmentally sensitive resources? (not selected) Yes No 8. Other environmentally sensitive resources? (not selected) Yes No 8. Other environmentally sensitive resources? (not selected) Yes No 1. Mater environmentally sensitive resources? (not selected) Yes No 1. Historic resources	
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?       (not selected) Yes No         If yes, please explain:       Stormwater Management         What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?       -52%         Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) project's impacts on stormwater management:underground detention       -52%         Environmental Quality       Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:       1. Water supply watersheds?         1. Water supply watersheds?       (not selected) Yes No       No         2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?       (not selected) Yes No         3. Wetlands?       (not selected) Yes No       No         4. Protected mountains?       (not selected) Yes No       No         5. Protected river corridors?       (not selected) Yes No       No         6. Floodplains?       (not selected) Yes No       No         7. Historic resources?       (not selected) Yes No       No         8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?       (not selected) Yes No       No	1
generated by the development?       If yes, please explain:         If yes, please explain:       Stormwater Management         What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?       -52%         Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) project's impacts on stormwater management:underground detention       -52%         Environmental Quality         Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:         1. Water supply watersheds?       (not selected) Yes No         2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?       (not selected) Yes No         3. Wetlands?       (not selected) Yes No         5. Protected river corridors?       (not selected) Yes No         6. Floodplains?       (not selected) Yes No         7. Historic resources?       (not selected) Yes No         8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?       (not selected) Yes No	expand existing
Stormwater Management         What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?       ~52%         Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) project's impacts on stormwater management.underground detention       Stormmental Quality         Environmental Quality       s the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:       No         1. Water supply watersheds?       (not selected) Yes No       No         2. Significant groundwater cercharge areas?       (not selected) Yes No       No         3. Wetlands?       (not selected) Yes No       No         5. Protected mountains?       (not selected) Yes No       No         5. Protected river corridors?       (not selected) Yes No       No         3. Historic resources?       (not selected) Yes No       No         3. Other environmentally sensitive resources?       (not selected) Yes No       No	)e
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?       -52%         Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) project's impacts on stormwater management:underground detention       Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) project's impacts on stormwater management:underground detention         Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) project's impacts on stormwater management:underground detention         Environmental Quality         s the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:         1. Water supply watersheds?       (not selected) Yes No         2. Significant groundwater echarge areas?       (not selected) Yes No         3. Wetlands?       (not selected) Yes No         4. Protected mountains?       (not selected) Yes No         5. Protected river corridors?       (not selected) Yes No         6. Floodplains?       (not selected) Yes No         7. Historic resources?       (not selected) Yes No         8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?       (not selected) Yes No	
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been constructed?       ~52%         Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) project's impacts on stormwater management:underground detention         Environmental Quality         Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:         1. Water supply watersheds?         (not selected)       Yes INO         2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?         3. Wetlands?       (not selected)       Yes INO         4. Protected mountains?       (not selected)       Yes No         5. Protected river corridors?       (not selected)       Yes No         6. Floodplains?       (not selected)       Yes No         7. Historic resources?       (not selected)       Yes No         8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?       (not selected)       Yes No	
projected to be impervious         surface once the proposed development has been constructed?         Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) project's impacts on stormwater management:underground detention         Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) project's impacts on stormwater management:underground detention         Describe any measures proposed (such as buffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) project's impacts on stormwater management:underground detention         Environmental Quality         Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:         1. Water supply watersheds?       (not selected) Yes No         2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?       (not selected) Yes No         3. Wetlands?       (not selected) Yes No         4. Protected mountains?       (not selected) Yes No         5. Protected river corridors?       (not selected) Yes No         6. Floodplains?       (not selected) Yes No         7. Historic resources?       (not selected) Yes No         8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?       (not selected) Yes No	 
Is the development located within, or likely to affect any of the following:          1. Water supply watersheds?       (not selected) Yes No         2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?       (not selected) Yes No         3. Wetlands?       (not selected) Yes No         4. Protected mountains?       (not selected) Yes No         5. Protected river corridors?       (not selected) Yes No         6. Floodplains?       (not selected) Yes No         7. Historic resources?       (not selected) Yes No         8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?       (not selected) Yes No	
2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?       (not selected) Yes No         3. Wetlands?       (not selected) Yes No         4. Protected mountains?       (not selected) Yes No         5. Protected river corridors?       (not selected) Yes No         6. Floodplains?       (not selected) Yes No         7. Historic resources?       (not selected) Yes No	 vater manageme
2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?       (not selected) Yes No         3. Wetlands?       (not selected) Yes No         4. Protected mountains?       (not selected) Yes No         5. Protected river corridors?       (not selected) Yes No         6. Floodplains?       (not selected) Yes No         7. Historic resources?       (not selected) Yes No         8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?       (not selected) Yes No	 l within, or likely t
recharge areas?       O (not selected) O Tes O NO         3. Wetlands?       O (not selected) O Yes O NO         4. Protected mountains?       O (not selected) O Yes O NO         5. Protected river corridors?       O (not selected) O Yes O NO         6. Floodplains?       O (not selected) O Yes O NO         7. Historic resources?       O (not selected) O Yes O NO         3. Other environmentally sensitive resources?       O (not selected) O Yes O NO	s?
4. Protected mountains?       (not selected) Yes No         5. Protected river corridors?       (not selected) Yes No         6. Floodplains?       (not selected) Yes No         7. Historic resources?       (not selected) Yes No         8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?       (not selected) Yes No	 
5. Protected river corridors?     (not selected) Yes No       6. Floodplains?     (not selected) Yes No       7. Historic resources?     (not selected) Yes No       8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?     (not selected) Yes No	
6. Floodplains?       (not selected) Yes No         7. Historic resources?       (not selected) Yes No         8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?       (not selected) Yes No	
7. Historic resources?     (not selected) Yes No       8. Other environmentally sensitive resources?     (not selected) Yes No	?
8. Other environmentally sensitive resources? (not selected) Yes No	
sensitive resources?	 
If you answered yes to any question above, describe how the identified resource(s) may be affected:	 
	question above,

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page Site Map | Statements | Contact

Copyright © 2007 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.