

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Oct 17 2008 ARC Review Code: R810171

TO: CEO Vernon Jones

ATTN TO: Karmen White, DeKalb County

FROM: Charles Krautler, Director (

NOTE: This is digital signature. Original on file.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: Executive Park

Review Type: Development of Regional Impact

<u>Description:</u> The proposed Executive Park development is a mixed use infill development that is located on 70 acres in DeKalb County. The proposed development will include 877,600 square feet of new office, 488,600 square feet of retail, 804 apartments, a 170 room hotel, and 15,000 square feet of civic space. The proposed development will also retain 587,191 square feet of existing office. The proposed development is located southwest of the interchange of Interstate 85 and North Druid Hills Road in DeKalb County.

Submitting Local Government: DeKalb County

Date Opened: Oct 17 2008

Deadline for Comments: Oct 31 2008

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Nov 16 2008

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
ARC DATA RESEARCH
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
CITY OF ATLANTA

ARC Transportation Planning
ARC Aging Division
Georgia Department of Transportation
Dekalb County Schools

ARC ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
METRO ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT AUTHORITY

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Jon Tuley, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463-3309. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Oct 31 2008, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse.



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com



DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT

DRI- REQUEST FOR COMMENTS

Instructions: The project described below has been submitted to this Regional Development Center for review as a Development of Regional Impact (DRI). A DRI is a development of sufficient project of sufficient scale or importance that it is likely to have impacts beyond the jurisdiction in which the project is actually located, such as adjoining cities or neighboring counties. We would like to consider your comments on this proposed development in our DRI review process. Therefore, please review the information about the project included on this form and give us your comments in the space provided. The completed form should be returned to the RDC on or before the specified return deadline.

the specified return deadline.	your comments in the space provided. The com-	ipleted form should be returned to the KDC on or before
Preliminary Findings of the RDC:	Executive Park See the Preliminary Re	port .
Preliminary Findings of the RDC: Comments from affected party (atta		port .
Individual Completing form:		
Local Government:		Please Return this form to:
Department:		Jon Tuley, Atlanta Regional Commission 40 Courtland Street NE Atlanta, GA 30303
Telephone: ()		Ph. (404) 463-3309 Fax (404) 463-3254 <u>jtuley@atlantaregional.com</u>
Signature: Date:		Return Date: Oct 31 2008

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: Oct 17 2008 **ARC REVIEW CODE:** R810171

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs

FROM: Jon Tuley, Review Coordinator, Extension: 3-3309

Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

<u>Land Use:</u> Calvert, Brad <u>Transportation:</u> Kray, Michael

Environmental: Santo, Jim **Research:** Skinner, Jim

Aging: Rader, Carolyn

Name of Proposal: Executive Park

Review Type: Development of Regional Impact

Description: The proposed Executive Park development is a mixed use infill development that is located on 70 acres in DeKalb County. The proposed development will include 877,600 square feet of new office, 488,600 square feet of retail, 804 apartments, a 170 room hotel, and 15,000 square feet of civic space. The proposed development will also retain 587,191 square feet of existing office. The proposed development is located southwest of the interchange of Interstate 85 and North Druid Hills Road in DeKalb County.

Submitting Local Government: DeKalb County

Date Opened: Oct 17 2008

Deadline for Comments: Oct 31 2008

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Nov 16 2008

Response:

- 1) □ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 3) \Box While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 4)

 The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 5)

 The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.
- 6) Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.

COMMENTS:

Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:
Final Report	November	REVIEW REPORT	Comment

Project:	Executive Park #1583
Comments Due By:	October 31, 2008

PRELIMINARY REPORT SUMMARY

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT:

The proposed Executive Park development is a mixed use infill development that is located on 70 acres in DeKalb County. The proposed development will include 877,600 square feet of new office, 488,600 square feet of retail, 804 apartments, a 170 room hotel, and 15,000 square feet of civic space. The proposed development will also retain 587,191 square feet of existing office. The proposed development is located southwest of the interchange of Interstate 85 and North Druid Hills Road in DeKalb County.



PROJECT PHASING:

The project is being proposed in one phase with a project build out date for 2016.

GENERAL

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

Is the proposed project consistent with the host-local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

The project site is currently zoned O-I. The proposed zoning is OCR. Information submitted for the review states that the proposed development is inconsistent with the Future Development Map which designates the property as Office Professional. The development is requesting a change to the Future Development Map from Office Professional to Town Center.

Is the proposed project consistent with any potentially affected local government's comprehensive plan? If not, identify inconsistencies.

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments.

Will the proposed project impact the implementation of any local government's short-term work program? If so, how?

This will be determined based on comments received from potentially impacted local governments.

Will the proposed project generate population and/or employment increases in the Region? If yes, what would be the major infrastructure and facilities improvements needed to support the increase?

Yes, the proposed development would increase the need for services in the area for existing and future residents.



Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Executive Park #1583
Final Report	November	REVIEW REPORT	Comments	October 31, 2008
Due:	16, 2008		Due By:	

What other major development projects are planned near the proposed project?

The ARC has reviewed other major development projects, known as Area Plan (1984 to 1991) or as a DRI (1991 to present), within a mile radius of the proposed project.

Year	Name
2008	Buford Highway Mixed Use
2007	The Heights at Clairmont
2004	The Reserve at Cranbrook
1997	Post Briarcliff
1986	Lenox Park
1985	Wood Hills

Will the proposed project displace housing units or community facilities? If yes, identify and give number of units, facilities, etc.

No, the proposed development will displace office space. Based on information submitted for the review, there are 365,000 square feet of office space that will be demolished.

Will the development cause a loss in jobs? If yes, how many?

No.

Is the proposed development consistent with regional plans and policies?

The proposed development meets many of ARC's Regional Development Policies and is generally consistent with the Atlanta Region Unified Growth Policy Map (UGPM). The proposed development is located within a Mega Corridor, according to the Atlanta Region UGPM. Mega Corridors are defined as the most intensely developed radial corridors in the region.

Due to the proposed development's proximity to a neighboring jurisdiction, an amendment to the DeKalb County FLUM and /or comprehensive plan would require a regional review under the major amendment provisions for DCA comprehensive planning.

The Livable Communities Coalition, with the support of DeKalb County, funded a study for the four quadrants around the North Druid Hills Road and Briarcliff Road intersection. "A New Public Realm for DeKalb County", the completed study, addressed the implications of intense redevelopment in this area and recommended several transportation and greenspace improvements that the County should pursue through various mechanisms. ARC would like DeKalb County to clarify the status of this study as it pertains to official County policy as well as its consistency with adopted policy for the area.



Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Executive Park #1583
Final Report	November	REVIEW REPORT	Comments	October 31, 2008
Due:	16, 2008		Due By:	

PRELIMINARY REPORT

Regional Development Plan Policies

- 1. Provide sustainable economic growth in all areas of the region.
- 2. Encourage new homes and jobs within existing developed areas of the region, focusing on principal transportation corridors, the Central Business District, activity centers, and town centers.
- 3. Increase opportunities for mixed use development, transit-oriented development, infill, and redevelopment.
- 4. At strategic regional locations, plan and retail industrial and freight land uses.
- 5. Design transportation infrastructure to protect the context of adjoining development and provide a sense of place appropriate for our communities.
- 6. Promote the reclamation of Brownfield development sites.
- 7. Protect the character and integrity of existing neighborhoods, while also meeting the needs of communities to grow.
- 8. Encourage a variety of homes styles, densities, and price ranges in locations that are accessible to jobs and services to ensure housing for individuals and families of all incomes and age groups.
- 9. Promote new communities that feature greenspace and neighborhood parks, pedestrian scale, support transportation options, and provide an appropriate mix of uses and housing types.
- 10. Promote sustainable and energy efficient development.
- 11. Protect environmentally-sensitive areas including wetlands, floodplains, small water supply watersheds, rivers and stream corridors.
- 12. Increase the amount, quality, and connectivity, and accessibility of greenspace.
- 13. Provide strategies to preserve and enhance historic resources
- 14. Through regional infrastructure planning, limit growth in undeveloped areas of the region
- 15. Assist local governments to adopt growth management strategies that make more efficient use of existing infrastructure.
- 16. Inform and involve the public in planning at regional, local, and neighborhood levels.
- 17. Coordinate local policies and regulations to support Regional Policies
- 18. Encourage the development of state and regional growth management policy.

BEST LAND USE PRACTICES

Practice 1: Keep vehicle miles of travel (VMT) below the area average. Infill developments are the best at accomplishing this. The more remote a development the more self contained it must be to stay below the area average VMT.

Practice 2: Contribute to the area's jobs-housing balance. Strive for a job-housing balance with a three to five mile area around a development site.

Practice 3: Mix land uses at the finest grain the market will bear and include civic uses in the mix.



Preliminary October 17, Project: **Executive Park DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT** Report: 2008 #1583 **REVIEW REPORT Final Report** November Comments October 31, 2008 Due: 16, 2008 Due By:

Practice 4: Develop in clusters and keep the clusters small. This will result in more open space preservation.

Practice 5: Place higher-density housing near commercial centers, transit lines and parks. This will enable more walking, biking and transit use.

Practice 6: Phase convenience shopping and recreational opportunities to keep pace with housing. These are valued amenities and translate into less external travel by residents if located conveniently to housing.

Practice 7: Make subdivisions into neighborhoods with well-defined centers and edges. This is traditional development.

Practice 8: Reserve school sites and donate them if necessary to attract new schools. This will result in neighborhood schools which provide a more supportive learning environment than larger ones.

Practice 9: Concentrate commercial development in compact centers or districts, rather than letting it spread out in strips.

Practice 10: Make shopping centers and business parks into all-purpose activity centers. Suburban shopping centers and their environs could be improved by mixing uses and designing them with the pedestrian amenities of downtowns.

Practice 11: Tame auto-oriented land uses, or at least separate them from pedestrian-oriented uses. Relegate "big box" stores to areas where they will do the least harm to the community fabric.

BEST TRANSPORTATION PRACTICES

Practice 1: Design the street network with multiple connections and relatively direct routes.

Practice 2: Space through-streets no more than a half mile apart, or the equivalent route density in a curvilinear network.

Practice 3: Use traffic-calming measures liberally. Use short streets, sharp curves, center islands, traffic circles, textured pavements, speed bumps and raised crosswalks.

Practice 4: Keep speeds on local streets down to 20 mph.

Practice 5: Keep speeds on arterials and collectors down to 35 mph (at least inside communities).

Practice 6: Keep all streets as narrow as possible and never more than four traffic lanes wide. Florida suggests access streets 18 feet, subcollectors 26 feet, and collectors from 28 feet to 36 feet depending on lanes and parking. Practice 7: Align streets to give buildings energy-efficient orientations. Allow building sites to benefit from sun

angles, natural shading and prevailing breezes.

Practice 8: Avoid using traffic signals wherever possible and always space them for good traffic progression.

Practice 9: Provide networks for pedestrians and bicyclists as good as the network for motorists.

Practice 10: Provide pedestrians and bicyclists with shortcuts and alternatives to travel along high-volume streets.

Practice 11: Incorporate transit-oriented design features.

Practice 12: Establish TDM programs for local employees. Ridesharing, modified work hours, telecommuting and others.

BEST ENVIRONMENTAL PRACTICES

Practice 1: Use a systems approach to environmental planning. Shift from development orientation to basins or ecosystems planning.

Practice 2: Channel development into areas that are already disturbed.

Practice 3: Preserve patches of high-quality habitat, as large and circular as possible, feathered at the edges and connected by wildlife corridors. Stream corridors offer great potential.

Practice 4: Design around significant wetlands.

Practice 5: Establish upland buffers around all retained wetlands and natural water bodies.

Practice 6: Preserve significant uplands, too.

Practice 7: Restore and enhance ecological functions damaged by prior site activities.

Practice 8: Detain runoff with open, natural drainage systems. The more natural the system the more valuable it will be for wildlife and water quality.

Practice 9: Design man-made lakes and stormwater ponds for maximum environmental value. Recreation, stormwater management, wildlife habitat and others.



Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Executive Park #1583
Final Report	November	REVIEW REPORT	Comments	October 31, 2008
Due:	16, 2008		Due By:	

Practice 10: Use reclaimed water and integrated pest management on large landscaped areas. Integrated pest management involves controlling pests by introducing their natural enemies and cultivating disease and insect resistant grasses.

Practice 11: Use and require the use of XeriscapeTM landscaping. XeriscapingTM is water conserving landscape methods and materials.

BEST HOUSING PRACTICES

Practice 1: Offer "life cycle" housing. Providing integrated housing for every part of the "life cycle".

Practice 2: Achieve an average net residential density of six to seven units per acre without the appearance of crowding. Cluster housing to achieve open space.

Practice 3: Use cost-effective site development and construction practices. Small frontages and setbacks; rolled curbs or no curbs; shared driveways.

Practice 4: Design of energy-saving features. Natural shading and solar access.

Practice 5: Supply affordable single-family homes for moderate-income households.

Practice 6: Supply affordable multi-family and accessory housing for low-income households.

Practice 7: Tap government housing programs to broaden and deepen the housing/income mix.

Practice 8: Mix housing to the extent the market will bear.

LOCATION

Where is the proposed project located within the host-local government's boundaries?

The proposed development is located southwest of the interchange of Interstate 85 and North Druid Hills Road, in DeKalb County

Will the proposed project be located close to the host-local government's boundary with another local government? If yes, identify the other local government.

The proposed development is entirely within DeKalb County and is within a mile of the City of Atlanta.

Will the proposed project be located close to land uses in other jurisdictions that would benefit, or be negatively impacted, by the project? Identify those land uses which would benefit and those which would be negatively affected and describe impacts.

To be determined during the review.

ECONOMY OF THE REGION

According to information on the review form or comments received from potentially affected governments:

What new taxes will be generated by the proposed project?

Estimated value of the development is \$312,000,000 with an expected \$4,779,840 in annual local tax revenues.



Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Executive Park #1583
Final Report Due:	November 16, 2008	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	October 31, 2008

How many short-term jobs will the development generate in the Region?

Short-term jobs will depend upon construction schedule.

Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?

Yes.

In what ways could the proposed development have a positive or negative impact on existing industry or business in the Region?

To be determined during the review.

NATURAL RESOURCES

Watershed Protection and Stream Buffers

The project is located in the Peachtree Creek watershed. The USGS coverage for the area shows no streams on or near the project site. However, the plans identify an existing stream alongside Executive Park Drive starting near the center of the property in Parcel B and running south under the drive to its intersection with Sheridan Road. No buffers are shown. Both the DeKalb County 75-foot stream buffer ordinance and the State 25-foot Erosion and Sedimentation Act buffer should be shown, if applicable, streams and the State buffer should be shown along all state waters on the property. Work in these buffers may require variances.

Stormwater / Water Quality

The project should adequately address the impacts of the proposed development on stormwater runoff and downstream water quality. During construction, the project should conform to the relevant state and federal erosion and sedimentation control requirements. After construction, water quality will be impacted due to polluted stormwater runoff. ARC has estimated the amount of pollutants produced after the construction of the entire proposed development, based on the submitted site plan. These estimates are based on some simplifying assumptions for typical pollutant loading factors (lbs/ac/yr). The loading factors are based on the results of regional storm water monitoring data from the Atlanta Region with impervious areas based on estimated averages for land uses in the Atlanta Region. If actual impervious percentages are higher or lower than the estimate, the pollutant loads will differ accordingly. The proposed project is being developed primarily over existing developed areas, which will affect the actual increases caused by the new development. Given the coverage of the proposed and existing development and the identified acreages for each use, commercial, office/light industrial and roads were selected as the uses on the property. Since open space was not shown as a separate acreage, it is not included as a separate use. The following table summarizes the results of the analysis:



Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Executive Park #1583
Final Report Due:	November 16, 2008	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	October 31, 2008

Estimated Pounds of Pollutants Per Year

Land Use	Land Area (ac)	Total Phosphorus	Total Nitrogen	BOD	TSS	Zinc	Lead
Commercial	35.30	60.36	614.22	3812.40	34699.90	43.42	7.77
Office/Light Industrial	26.00	33.54	445.38	2964.00	18408.00	38.48	4.94
Roads	8.70	15.66	159.30	991.80	8995.80	11.22	2.00
TOTAL	70.00	109.56	1218.90	7768.20	62103.70	93.12	14.71

Total Impervious = 80%

In order to address post-construction stormwater runoff quality, the project should implement stormwater management controls (structural and/or nonstructural) as found in the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual (www.georgiastormwater.com) and meet the stormwater management quantity and quality criteria outlined in the Manual. Where possible, the project should utilize the stormwater better site design concepts included in the Manual.

HISTORIC RESOURCES

Will the proposed project be located near a national register site? If yes, identify site.

None have been identified.

In what ways could the proposed project create impacts that would damage the resource?

Not applicable.

In what ways could the proposed project have a positive influence on efforts to preserve or promote the historic resource?

Not applicable.

INFRASTRUCTURE Transportation

To be determined during the review.



Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Executive Park #1583
Final Report Due:	November 16, 2008	REVIEW REPORT	Comments Due By:	October 31, 2008

The development **PASSES** the ARC's Air Quality Benchmark test.

Air Quality Impacts/Mitigation (based		
on ARC strategies)	Credits	Total
Where Retail/Office is dominant, FAR .68	4%	4%
Where Office is dominant, 10% Residential		
and 10% Retail	9%	9%
w/in 1/4 mile of Bus Stop (CCT, MARTA,		
Other)	3%	3%
Bike/ped networks that meet Mixed Use or		
Density target and connect to adjoining uses	5%	5%
Total Calculated ARC Air Quality		
Credits (15 % reduction required)		21%

What are the conclusions of this review? Is the transportation system (existing and planned) capable of accommodating these trips?

INFRASTRUCTURE

Wastewater and Sewage

Wastewater is estimated at 0.474 MGD based on information submitted for the review.

Which facility will treat wastewater from the project?

R.M Clayton will provide wastewater treatment for the proposed development.

What is the current permitted capacity and average annual flow to this facility?

The capacity of R.M. Clayton Site is listed below:

PERMITTED CAPACITY MMF, MGD 1	DESIGN CAPACITY MMF, MGD	2001 MMF, MGD	2008 MMF, MGD	2008 CAPACITY AVAILABLE +/-, MGD	PLANNED EXPANSION	REMARKS
No Flow Limit	122	99	120	2	None. Plan before EPD to permit plant at design capacity consistent with draft Chattahoochee River Model.	Existing Consent Decree with the U.S. EPA and Georgia EPD require CSO and SSO improvements throughout the City of Atlanta wastewater system by 2007 and 2014, respectively

MMF: Maximum Monthly Flow. Mgd: million of gallons per day.

¹ Source: Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District SHORT-TERM WASTEWATER CAPACITY PLAN, August 2002.



Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Executive Park #1583
Final Report Due:	November 16, 2008	REVIEW REPORT	Comments Due By:	October 31, 2008

What other major developments will be served by the plant serving this project?

ARC has reviewed a number of major developments that will be served by this plant.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Water Supply and Treatment

How much water will the proposed project demand?

Water demand also is estimated at 0.545 MGD based on information submitted for the review.

How will the proposed project's demand for water impact the water supply or treatment facilities of the jurisdiction providing the service?

Information submitted with the review suggests that there is sufficient water supply capacity available for the proposed project.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Solid Waste

How much solid waste will be generated by the project? Where will this waste be disposed?

Information submitted with the review 5,739 tons of solid waste per year and the waste will be disposed of in DeKalb County.

Will the project create any unusual waste handling or disposal problems?

No.

Are there any provisions for recycling this project's solid waste.

None stated.

INFRASTRUCTURE

Other facilities

According to information gained in the review process, will there be any unusual intergovernmental impacts on:

- · Levels of governmental services?
- · Administrative facilities?
- · Schools?
- · Libraries or cultural facilities?
- Fire, police, or EMS?



Preliminary Report:	October 17, 2008	DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT	Project:	Executive Park #1583
Final Report Due:	November 16, 2008	<u>REVIEW REPORT</u>	Comments Due By:	October 31, 2008

- · Other government facilities?
- Other community services/resources (day care, health care, low income, non-English speaking, elderly, etc.)?

To be determined during the review.

HOUSING

Will the proposed project create a demand for additional housing?

No, the proposed development will add 804 new residential units.

Will the proposed project provide housing opportunities close to existing employment centers?

Yes, once developed, this project will provide housing opportunities for existing employment centers as well as providing opportunities for individuals to live and work within close proximity to one another.

Is there housing accessible to the project in all price ranges demanded?

The site proposed for the development is located in Census Tract 215.02. This tract had a .7 percent increase in number of housing units from 2000 to 2007 according to ARC's Population and Housing Report. The report shows that 53 percent, respectively, of the housing units are single-family, compared to 69 percent for the region; thus indicating is a variety of multi-family housing options around the development area.

Is it likely or unlikely that potential employees of the proposed project will be able to find affordable* housing?

Likely, assuming the development is approved with multiple price ranges of housing.

* Defined as 30 percent of the income of a family making 80 percent of the median income of the Region – FY 2000 median income of \$51,649 for family of 4 in Georgia.



Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home DRI Rules Thresholds Tier Map FAQ Apply View Submissions Login

DRI #1583

	DEVEL	OPMENT OF REGIONAL Initial DRI Information	IMPACT
	rs to meet or	exceed applicable DRI thresholds. Re	oject information that will allow the RDC to fer to both the Rules for the DRI Process and
	Lo	ocal Government Informa	tion
Submitting Local Government:	DeKalb		
Individual completing form:	Karmen Sw	an White	
Telephone:	404-371-21	55	
E-mail:	kswhite@c	o.dekalb.ga.us	
herein. If a project is to be loca	ated in more t	han one jurisdiction and, in total, the p	or the accuracy of the information contained project meets or exceeds a DRI threshold, the consible for initiating the DRI review process.
	Р	roposed Project Informat	ion
Name of Proposed Project:	Executive P	ark	
Location (Street Address, GPS Coordinates, or Legal Land Lot Description):	Executive P	ark Drive at North Druid Hills Road	
Brief Description of Project:	Mixed Used restaurant	with 772 multifamily units; 693,000 sf	retail; 1,074,000 sf office; 57,000 sf
Development Type:			
(not selected)		Hotels	Wastewater Treatment Facilities
Office		Mixed Use	Petroleum Storage Facilities
Commercial		Airports	○ Water Supply Intakes/Reservoirs
Wholesale & Distribution	n	Attractions & Recreational Facilities	O Intermodal Terminals
Hospitals and Health Ca Facilities	are	O Post-Secondary Schools	Truck Stops
Housing		Waste Handling Facilities	Any other development types
Olndustrial		Quarries, Asphalt & Cement Plants	
If other development type, de-	scribe:		

Dunings Cine (# ofite floor	
Project Size (# of units, floor area, etc.):	see project description above
Developer:	Reit Management and Research
Mailing Address:	4 Executive Park Drive
Address 2:	Suite 1408
	City:Atlanta State: GA Zip:30329
Telephone:	404-633-5684
Email:	bmcgahan@reitmr.com
Is property owner different from developer/applicant?	○ (not selected) ○ Yes ◎ No
If yes, property owner:	
Is the proposed project entirely located within your local government's jurisdiction?	○ (not selected) ● Yes ○ No
If no, in what additional jurisdictions is the project located?	
Is the current proposal a continuation or expansion of a previous DRI?	◯ (not selected) ◯ Yes ◉ No
If yes, provide the following	Project Name:
information:	Project ID:
The initial action being requested of the local government for this project:	Rezoning Variance Sewer Water Permit Other
Is this project a phase or part of a larger overall project?	◯ (not selected) ◯ Yes ◉ No
	(
If yes, what percent of the overall project does this project/phase represent?	
overall project does this	This project/phase: 2011 Overall project: 2011
overall project does this project/phase represent? Estimated Project	This project/phase: 2011
overall project does this project/phase represent? Estimated Project	This project/phase: 2011

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

Copyright © 2007 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.

Developments of Regional Impact

DRI Home DRI Rules Thresholds Tier Map FAQ Apply View Submissions Login

DRI #1583

_	NT OF REGIONAL IMPACT on all DRI Information
	ernment to provide information needed by the RDC for its review of the cess and the DRI Tiers and Thresholds for more information.
Local Go	vernment Information
Submitting Local Government:	DeKalb
Individual completing form:	Karmen Swan White
Telephone:	404-371-2155
Email:	kswhite@co.dekalb.ga.us
Pro	ject Information
Name of Proposed Project:	Executive Park
DRI ID Number:	1583
Developer/Applicant:	Reit Management and Research
Telephone:	404-633-5684
Email(s):	bmcgahan@reitmr.com
Additional	Information Requested
Has the RDC identified any additional information required in order to proceed with the official regional review process? (If no, proceed to Economic Impacts.)	○ (not selected) ● Yes ○ No
If yes, has that additional information been provided to your RDC and, if applicable, GRTA?	○ (not selected) ● Yes ○ No
If no, the official review process can not start until this	additional information is provided.
Econo	omic Development
Estimated Value at Build-Out:	\$312,000,000
Estimated annual local tax revenues (i.e., property tax, sales tax) likely to be generated by the proposed development:	\$4,779,840
Is the regional work force sufficient to fill the demand created by the proposed project?	○ (not selected) ● Yes ○ No
Will this development displace	

any existing uses?	◯ (not selected) ● Yes ◯ No
If yes, please describe (including number of units, square for million square feet in 22 buildings; however the existing offits 527,755 square feet will remain. See "Supplemental Inform	eet, etc): Existing Executive Park office complex includes 1.1 (ce space is approximately 62% occupied. Also, approximately lation for RDC's DRI Review" for more detail.
Wat	er Supply
Name of water supply provider for this site:	Scott Candler Plant
What is the estimated water supply demand to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	.545 MGD
Is sufficient water supply capacity available to serve the proposed project?	(not selected) • Yes No
If no, describe any plans to expand the existing water supp	ly capacity:
Is a water line extension required to serve this project?	○ (not selected) ○ Yes ◎ No
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required? N/A. Water lines are existing at the site. See "Supplementa	I Information" for details.
Wastew	ater Disposal
Name of wastewater treatment provider for this site:	R.M. Clayton WWTP
What is the estimated sewage flow to be generated by the project, measured in Millions of Gallons Per Day (MGD)?	.474 MGD
Is sufficient wastewater treatment capacity available to serve this proposed project?	○ (not selected)
If no, describe any plans to expand existing wastewater tre-	atment capacity:
Is a sewer line extension required to serve this project?	(not selected) Yes No
If yes, how much additional line (in miles) will be required? Information" for details.	N/A. Sewer lines are existing at the site. See "Supplemental
Land T	ransportation
How much traffic volume is expected to be generated by the proposed development, in peak hour vehicle trips per day? (If only an alternative measure of volume is available, please provide.)	31,933 24 hr. 2-way at build out
Has a traffic study been performed to determine whether or not transportation or access improvements will be needed to serve this project?	○ (not selected)
Are transportation improvements needed to serve this project?	○ (not selected) ● Yes ○ No
If yes, please describe below:All necessary transportation i as a supplement to this form.	mprovements are identified in the associated Traffic Study, provided

	Solid Waste Disposal
How much solid waste is the project expected to generate annually (in tons)?	5,739 tons/yr
Is sufficient landfill capacity available to serve this proposed project?	○ (not selected) ● Yes ○ No
If no, describe any plans to expand existing la	ndfill capacity:
Will any hazardous waste be generated by the development?	○ (not selected) ○ Yes ● No
If yes, please explain:	,
	Stormwater Management
What percentage of the site is projected to be impervious surface once the proposed development has been	47%
constructed?	ffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the
constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as bu project's impacts on stormwater management:	Iffers, detention or retention ponds, pervious parking areas) to mitigate the Impervious surface area is slightly less than existing development. In addition, water quality measures will significantly improve existing drainage conditions.
constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as bu project's impacts on stormwater management: new detention ponds, underground vaults and	Impervious surface area is slightly less than existing development. In addition,
constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as bu project's impacts on stormwater management: new detention ponds, underground vaults and	Impervious surface area is slightly less than existing development. In addition, water quality measures will significantly improve existing drainage conditions. Environmental Quality
constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as bu project's impacts on stormwater management: new detention ponds, underground vaults and See "Supplemental Information" for details.	Impervious surface area is slightly less than existing development. In addition, water quality measures will significantly improve existing drainage conditions. Environmental Quality
constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as bu project's impacts on stormwater management new detention ponds, underground vaults and See "Supplemental Information" for details.	Impervious surface area is slightly less than existing development. In addition, water quality measures will significantly improve existing drainage conditions. Environmental Quality affect any of the following:
constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as bu project's impacts on stormwater management: new detention ponds, underground vaults and See "Supplemental Information" for details. Is the development located within, or likely to a 1. Water supply watersheds?	Empervious surface area is slightly less than existing development. In addition, water quality measures will significantly improve existing drainage conditions. Environmental Quality affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No
constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as bu project's impacts on stormwater management: new detention ponds, underground vaults and See "Supplemental Information" for details. Is the development located within, or likely to a 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas?	Environmental Quality affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No
constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as buproject's impacts on stormwater management: new detention ponds, underground vaults and See "Supplemental Information" for details. Is the development located within, or likely to a 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? 3. Wetlands?	Environmental Quality affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No
constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as burpoject's impacts on stormwater management: new detention ponds, underground vaults and See "Supplemental Information" for details. Is the development located within, or likely to a 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? 3. Wetlands? 4. Protected mountains?	Environmental Quality affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No
constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as burpoject's impacts on stormwater management: new detention ponds, underground vaults and See "Supplemental Information" for details. Is the development located within, or likely to a 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? 3. Wetlands? 4. Protected mountains? 5. Protected river corridors?	Environmental Quality affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No (not selected) Yes No
constructed? Describe any measures proposed (such as burpoject's impacts on stormwater management: new detention ponds, underground vaults and See "Supplemental Information" for details. Is the development located within, or likely to a 1. Water supply watersheds? 2. Significant groundwater recharge areas? 3. Wetlands? 4. Protected mountains? 5. Protected river corridors? 6. Floodplains?	Environmental Quality affect any of the following: (not selected) Yes No

GRTA Home Page | ARC Home Page | RDC Links | DCA Home Page

Site Map | Statements | Contact

Copyright © 2007 The Georgia Department of Community Affairs. All Rights Reserved.

