1:C

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Aug 25 2008

ARC REVIEW CODE: P808251

TO: Mayor Jimmy Wilbanks ATTN TO: Jim Osborn, City Administrator FROM: Charles Krautler, Director (

NOTE: This is digital signature.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

<u>Name of Proposal:</u> City of Dacula Community Agenda <u>Review Type:</u> Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The Community Agenda of the City of Dacula's Comprehensive Plan

<u>Submitting Local Government</u>: City of Dacula <u>Action Under Consideration</u>: Approval <u>Date Opened</u>: Aug 25 2008 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: Sep 15 2008 <u>Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed</u>: Oct 14 2008

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING ARC DATA RESEARCH GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY CITY OF DULUTH CITY OF LAWRENCEVILLE CITY OF LIBURN CITY OF BUFORD NORTHEAST GEORGIA RDC ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION GWINNETT COUNTY CITY OF DACULA CITY OF GRAYSON CITY OF NORCROSS CITY OF AUBURN

ARC Environmental Planning Georgia Department of Community Affairs Georgia Env. Facilities Authority Gwinnett County Schools City of Berkeley Lake City of Snellville City of Suwanee Barrow County

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463–3311. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Sep 15 2008, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <u>http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</u>.



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Governme	City	y of Dacula	Date Received:	Aug 25 2008		
Local Contact:	Adı	n Osborn, City ministrator City of cula	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2008-09-19 09:00 AM		
Phone:			E-Mail:			
Fax:			Website:			
Street	PO	Box 400	City State, Zip:	Dacula, Georgia 30019		
	Ι	Department of Com		Review Required		
Review Titl	le: City	y of Dacula Communi	ty Agenda			
Descriptio	Doo <u>htt</u>	The Community Agenda of the City of Dacula's Comprehensive Plan Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: <u>http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</u> Under Plan Review, search for the City of Dacula.				
		cuments are availa al Development Co		t ARC and the local government.		
Neviewill		_				
Atlanta Reg 40 Courtla	nd Street,	nmission NE Atlanta, GA. 3030 2 FAX 404.463.3254	03			
Atlanta Reg 40 Courtla	nd Street, .463.3302	NE Atlanta, GA. 3030				

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: Aug 25 2008

ARC REVIEW CODE: P808251

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs **FROM:** Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, Extension: 3-3311

<u>Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:</u>

<u>Land Use:</u> Tuley, Jon
Environmental: Santo, Jim
Aging: Rader, Carolyn

<u>Transportation:</u> Trudell, Talya <u>Research:</u> Skinner, Jim

Name of Proposal: City of Dacula Community Agenda Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan Description: The Community Agenda of the City of Dacula's Comprehensive Plan Submitting Local Government: City of Dacula Date Opened: Aug 25 2008

Deadline for Comments: Sep 15 2008

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Oct 14 2008

guide listed in the comment section.	Response:				
 guide listed in the comment section. While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible. Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. 	1)	□ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
 guide listed in the comment section. 4) □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section. 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible. 6) □Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. 	2)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
 5) □ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible. 6) □Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section. 	3)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
6)	4)	□ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
	5)	□ The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.			
COMMENTS:	6)	□Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
		COMMENTS:			