1:C

REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Aug 4 2008

ARC REVIEW CODE: P808041

TO:Mayor Nancy HarrisATTN TO:Chris Collins, Senior PlannerFROM:Charles Krautler, Director

Charles Krauth

NOTE: This is digital signature. Original on file

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

<u>Name of Proposal:</u> City of Duluth Community Agenda <u>Review Type:</u> Local Comprehensive Plan

Description: The Community Agenda of the City of Duluth's Comprehensive Plan

<u>Submitting Local Government</u>: City of Duluth <u>Action Under Consideration</u>: Approval <u>Date Opened</u>: Aug 4 2008 <u>Deadline for Comments</u>: Aug 24 2008 <u>Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed</u>: Oct 3 2008

THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING ARC DATA RESEARCH GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES GEORGIA REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY CITY OF JOHNS CREEK CITY OF GRAYSON CITY OF SNELLVILLE CITY OF LAWRENCEVILLE ARC TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ARC AGING DIVISION GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION GWINNETT COUNTY CITY OF BERKELEY LAKE CITY OF NORCROSS CITY OF SUGAR HILL ARC Environmental Planning Georgia Department of Community Affairs Georgia Env. Facilities Authority Gwinnett County Schools City of Dacula City of Lilburn City of Buford

Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463–3311. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by Aug 24 2008, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <u>http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</u>.



REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

NOTICE OF LOCAL PLAN SUBMITTAL AND HEARING/COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

Submitting Local Government:	City of Duluth	Date Received:	Aug 4 2008	
Local Contact:	Chris Collins, Senior Planner City of Duluth	Public Hearing Date and Time:	2008-09-02 09:00 AM	
Phone:	770-467-5315	E-Mail:		
Fax:		Website:		
Street	3167 Main Street	City State, Zip:	Duluth, Georgia 30096	
	Department of Com	munity Affairs	Review Required	
Review Title: Description	City of Duluth Communit		th's Comprehensive Plan	
	Document can be viewed on the ARC website at: <u>http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</u> Under Plan Review, search for the City of Duluth.			
			ouluth.	
The submitt			vuluth. t ARC and the local government.	
		ble for review a		
Reviewing R Atlanta Region 40 Courtland	ed documents are availal	ble for review a nter:		
Reviewing RAtlanta Region40 CourtlandPhone 404.46ContactPerson:	ed documents are availal Regional Development Ce nal Commission Street, NE Atlanta, GA. 3030	ble for review a nter: 3 inator		

ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

DATE: Aug 4 2008

ARC REVIEW CODE: P808041

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs **FROM:** Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, Extension: 3-3311

<u>Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:</u>

Land Use: Tuley, Jon				
Environmental: Santo, Ji	m			
Aging: Rader, Carolyn				

<u>Transportation:</u> Trudell, Talya <u>Research:</u> Skinner, Jim

Name of Proposal: City of Duluth Community Agenda Review Type: Local Comprehensive Plan Description: The Community Agenda of the City of Duluth's Comprehensive Plan Submitting Local Government: City of Duluth Date Opened: Aug 4 2008

Deadline for Comments: Aug 24 2008

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Oct 3 2008

	Response:			
1)	□ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
2)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
3)	□ While neither specifically consistent nor inconsistent, the proposal relates to the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
4)	\square The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.			
5)	\square The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.			
6)	\Box Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.			
	COMMENTS:			