

## REGIONAL REVIEW NOTIFICATION

Atlanta Regional Commission • 40 Courtland Street NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303 • ph: 404.463.3100 • fax:404.463.3105 • www.atlantaregional.com

DATE: Jul 23 2008 ARC REVIEW CODE: V807221

**TO**: Chairman Sam Olens

ATTN TO: David Breaden, Cobb County

FROM: Charles Krautler. Director

NOTE: This is digital signature.

The Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) has received the following proposal and is initiating a regional review to seek comments from potentially impacted jurisdictions and agencies. The ARC requests your comments related to the proposal not addressed by the Commission's regional plans and policies.

Name of Proposal: RC-08-06CC 3171 Farmington Drive

Review Type: Metro River MRPA Code: RC-08-06CC

**Description:** An application for a metro river certificate to construct a single family residence. The ARC preliminary staff finding is that the proposed development while not consistent with the Chattahoochee Corridor Plan in all respects will provide a level of land and water resource protection equivalent to an application consistent with the plan.

<u>Submitting Local Government</u>: Cobb County <u>Land Lot:</u> 957,970 <u>District:</u> 17 <u>Section:</u> 2

Date Opened: Jul 23 2008

**Deadline for Comments:** Aug 4 2008

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Aug 4 2008

#### THE FOLLOWING LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND AGENCIES ARE RECEIVING NOTICE OF THIS REVIEW:

ARC LAND USE PLANNING
GEORGIA CONSERVANCY
GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

UPPER CHATTAHOOCHEE RIVERKEEPER NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

ARC Environmental Planning Cobb County

## Attached is information concerning this review.

If you have any questions regarding this review, Please call Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, at (404) 463-3311. If the ARC staff does not receive comments from you by 2008-08-04 00:00:00, we will assume that your agency has no additional comments and we will close the review. Comments by email are strongly encouraged.

The ARC review website is located at: <a href="http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse">http://www.atlantaregional.com/landuse</a> .

### ARC STAFF NOTICE OF REGIONAL REVIEW AND COMMENT FORM

**DATE**: Jul 23 2008 **ARC REVIEW CODE**: V807221

TO: ARC Land Use, Environmental, Transportation, Research, and Aging Division Chiefs

FROM: Haley Fleming, Review Coordinator, Extension: 3-3311

### Reviewing staff by Jurisdiction:

Land Use:Fleming, HaleyTransportation:N/AEnvironmental:Santo, JimResearch:N/A

**Aging:** Lawler, Kathryn

Name of Proposal: RC-08-06CC 3171 Farmington Drive

**Review Type:** Metro River

<u>Description:</u> An application for a metro river certificate to construct a single family residence. The ARC preliminary staff finding is that the proposed development while not consistent with the Chattahoochee Corridor Plan in all respects will provide a level of land and water resource protection equivalent to an application consistent with the plan.

**Submitting Local Government:** Cobb County

Date Opened: Jul 23 2008

Deadline for Comments: Aug 4 2008

Earliest the Regional Review can be Completed: Aug 4 2008

	Response:	
1)	$\hfill\Box$ Proposal is CONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.	

- 4) 
  □ The proposal is INCONSISTENT with the following regional development guide listed in the comment section.
- 5) 

  The proposal does NOT relate to any development guide for which this division is responsible.
- 6) 

  Staff wishes to confer with the applicant for the reasons listed in the comment section.

COMMENTS:			

# APPLICATION FOR METROPOLITAN RIVER PROTECTION ACT CERTIFICATE

1. Name of Local Government: Cobb C	04179
2. Owner(s) of Record of Property to be Reviewed:	
Name(s): FRANK (SON 14/C)	
Maning Address: 3/7/ Fachard	-110
City: FI CANDA	
Contact Phone Numbers (w/Area Code):	o.po.y
Daytime Phone: 776 801 1856	Fax:
Other Numbers:	rax,
3. Applicant(s) or Applicant's Agent(s):	
Name(s): JERRY RAGAN	
Mailing Address: Qli Duly 1/11	
City: 1 1 Poll 1	10000
Contact Phone Numbers (w/Area Code):	ate: 61 Zip: 30043
Daytime Phone: 770 289-4176	
Other Numbers:	Fax: 270 456-5287
4 D	
4. Proposed Land or Water Use:	
Name of Development: FARMING	TON
Description of Proposed Use: SFR	Add Deck & Porch
5. Property Description (Attach Legal Description and Land Lot(s) District Section 6	ad Visitita NV
Land Lot(s), District, Section, County: 957	1970 DIST 17 SPCT 2
Cabh /	The state of the s
Subdivision I . Di	
Subdivision, Lot, Block, Street and Address D	istance to Nearest I
Subdivision, Lot, Block, Street and Address, D FARMINGTON LOT 16 A 317	vistance to Nearest Intersection:
Size of Development (Use as Applicable):	istance to Nearest Intersection:
Subdivision, Lot, Block, Street and Address, D FARMINGTON LOT 16 A 317. Size of Development (Use as Applicable): Acres: Inside Corridor:	vistance to Nearest Intersection:
Size of Development (Use as Applicable): Acres: Inside Corridor:	vistance to Nearest Intersection:    FARMINGTON IDE
Size of Development (Use as Applicable):	vistance to Nearest Intersection:  I FARMINGTON IDR
Size of Development (Use as Applicable): Acres: Inside Corridor: Outside Corridor: Total: Lots: Inside Corridor:	PARMINGTON DR
Size of Development (Use as Applicable): Acres: Inside Corridor: Outside Corridor: Total: Lots: Inside Corridor:	PARMINGTON DR
Size of Development (Use as Applicable): Acres: Inside Corridor: Outside Corridor: Total: Lots: Inside Corridor: Outside Corridor: Total:	PARMINGTON DR
Size of Development (Use as Applicable): Acres: Inside Corridor: Outside Corridor: Total: Lots: Inside Corridor: Outside Corridor: Total: Units: Inside Corridor:	PARMINATION DR
Size of Development (Use as Applicable): Acres: Inside Corridor: Outside Corridor: Total: Lots: Inside Corridor: Outside Corridor: Total: Units: Inside Corridor:	PARMINATION DR
Size of Development (Use as Applicable):	PARMINGTON DR
Size of Development (Use as Applicable): Acres: Inside Corridor: Outside Corridor: Total: Lots: Inside Corridor: Outside Corridor: Total: Units: Inside Corridor: Outside Corridor: Outside Corridor: Outside Corridor: Outside Corridor:	SEL-
Size of Development (Use as Applicable): Acres: Inside Corridor: Outside Corridor: Total: Lots: Inside Corridor: Outside Corridor: Total: Units: Inside Corridor: Outside Corridor: Total: Outside Corridor: Inside Corridor: Total: Other Size Descriptor (i.e., Length and Width o	of Easement):
Size of Development (Use as Applicable):	of Easement):

	Does t	Does the total development include additional land in the Chattahoochee Corridor that is not part of this application? No  If "yes", describe the additional land and any development plans:						
В.	border Corrid If "yes	ring this land, prev lor review approva ", please identify t	erty in this applicativiously received a ceal? No he use(s), the review N/A	rtificate or any oth	ner Chattaho  mber(s), and	ochee the date(s)		
A. B.	v Will S Septic Note local Public	Sewage from this D tank	Development be Trea	ted? application must in val for the selected	_ nclude the ap d site.			
Vulnera Catego	bility	Total Acreage (or Sq. Footage)	Total Acreage	Total Acreage (or Sq. Footage)	Percent Land <u>Disturb.</u>	Percent Imperv. <u>Surf.</u> s Shown In teses)		
A					(90)	(75)		
В								
$\mathbf{C}$					_ (70)	(45)		
					(=0)			
D					_ (50)	_ (30)		
D E		44,787 SF	20,704 SF	6,000 SF	(50) (30) <u>46.2</u>			
		44,787 SF	20,704 SF	6,000 SF	(30) 46.2			

NOTE: This property includes 50 feet of a 100-foot wide Georgia Power Easement and a 20-foot drainage easement that puts it over the maximum for land disturbance in the E vulnerability category. Because the lot, the house and the easements all pre-date the effective date of the Metropolitan River Protection Act, the easements constitute a hardship and the property is eligible for the finding of equivalent to a consistent project. The average weighted runoff coefficient test involved in determining whether or not it is equivalent and the numbers proposed in the review are on the attached memorandum. The proposed project meets the average weighted runoff coefficient test and, while not consistent with the Plan in all respects, is equivalent to a fully consistent project. It can be built as proposed.

9.	Is any of this Land within the 100-Year Floodplain of the Chattahoochee River?
	NOTE: For this review, river floodplain is determined from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, "Floodplain Information, Chattal and Property of Chattal
	Whitesburg, Georgia, November 1972 and it of
	and cannot accept transfers.
10.	Is any of this land within the 500-year floodplain of the Chattahoochee River? Yes
	1 late Standards include a 35-foot height the standards include a
	grade within the 500-year floodplain (includes the 100-year floodplain).  Adherence to this standard must be noted.
	2.B.(4) of the Chattahoochee Corridor Plan).
11.	The following is a checklist of information required to be attached as part of the
;	application. Individual items may be combined.
FOR.	ALL APPLICATIONS:
l	Description of land in the application and annual version of land version of land in the application and annual ve
C	Description of land in the application and any additional land in the project (attach legal escription or surveyed boundaries).
N	ame, address, and phone number(s) of annual ()
(	lame, address, and phone number(s) of owner(s) of record of the land in the application.
V	ritten consent of all owners to this application. (Space provided on this form)
N	ame, address, and phone number(s) of anni-
01	ame, address, and phone number(s) of applicant or applicant's agent. (Space provided
D	escription of proposed use(s). (Space provided on this form)
	xisting vegetation plan.
	oposed grading plan.
Ce	rtified as-builts of all existing land disturbance and impervious surfaces.
	proved erosion control plan.
De	tailed table of land-disturbing activities. (Both on this form and on the plans)
	was form and on the plans)

PAGE 02

and rights-of-way; 100- and 500-year river floodplains; vulnerability category boundaries; topography; any other information that will clarify the review.
Documentation on adjustments, if any.
Cashier's check or money order (for application fee).
FOR SINGLE-STEP APPLICATIONS (NON-SUBDIVISION):  Site plan.
Land-disturbance plan.
FOR TWO-STEP SINGLE-FAMILY SUBDIVISION APPLICATIONS ONLY:
Lot-by-lot and non-lot allocation tables.
12. I (we), the undersigned, authorize and request review of this application for a certificate under the provisions of the Metropolitan River Protection Act: (use additional sheets as Mank Blanch TH / W. th. permusion
Signature(s) of Owner(s) of Record Date
13. I (we), the undersigned, authorize and request review of this application for a certificate under the provisions of the Metropolitan River Protection Act:
Jerry Cosar Jerry RAGAN
Signature(s) of Applicant(s) or Agent(s)  Date
14. The governing authority of
Provisions of the Metropolitan River Protection Act.
- I // S. I
Signature of Chief Elected Official or Official's Designee Date

## Memorandum

Date:

July 10, 2008

To:

File

From:

Jim Santo (M

Subject:

3171 Farmington Drive Hardship and Average Weighted Runoff Coefficient Test

The proposed project at 3171 Farmington Drive is located in Farmington Subdivision in Cobb County. The property was developed before the Metropolitan River Protection Act took effect and has never been reviewed. The property contains an existing house and has an area of 44,787 square feet (SF). The property is entirely in the river floodplain and therefore it is automatically in the E category and cannot be reanalyzed. A 100-foot Georgia Power easement straddles the western property line (50 feet of the easement is on this lot). A 20-foot drainage easement is also on the property. Both easements pre-date the Act and are automatically considered to be land disturbance as per Part 2.A.3.a. of the Chattahoochee Corridor Plan. The proposed house addition would be consistent on its own but the easement land disturbance exceeds the maximum allowed amounts of land disturbance in E, the property is inconsistent before any other activity is considered. This constitutes a legal and physical hardship that prevents the project from reaching full consistency. Under the Plan and Act, this situation makes the project eligible for an "equivalent consistency" finding, if it can meet an average weighted runoff coefficient test. Because the proposed impervious surface is less than the maximum allowable impervious surface area, the proposal does meet the average weighted runoff coefficient test.

The maximum allowable amounts of land disturbance and impervious surface on this property are as follows:

Category	Total Area (Sq. Ft.)	Land Disturbance (Sq. Ft.)	Impervious Surface (Sq. Ft.)		
E	44,787	13,436 (30%)	6,718 (15%)		

For the proposed project, the land disturbance and impervious areas are as follows:

Category	Total Area	Land Disturbance	Impervious Surface
	(Sq. Ft.)	(Sq. Ft.)	(Sq. Ft.)
E	44,787	20,704 (46%)	6,000 (13.4%)

### Memorandum

July 10, 2008

The average weighted runoff coefficient compares the runoff coefficient totals for the natural, landscaped (unpaved disturbed) and impervious areas for a hypothetical project built to the maximum allowed amounts and for the proposed project. If the proposed project's average weighted runoff coefficient is the same as or less than the coefficient for the maximum consistent project, then it meets the test.

The natural, landscaped and impervious areas are totaled and then each is expressed as a (decimal) percentage of the total site area. They are then multiplied by the appropriate runoff coefficient (0.30 for natural, 0.35 for landscaped and 0.95 for impervious) and the results are added, giving the coefficient.

### For the Maximum Consistent Project:

					0.405
Impervious	6,718 SF / 44,787	_	$0.15 \times 0.95$	=	<u>0.1425</u>
Landscaped:	6,718 SF / 44,787	=	$0.15 \times 0.35$	=	0.0525
Natural:	31,351 SF / 44,787	=	$0.70 \times 0.30$	=	0.210

### For the Proposed Project:

```
Natural: 24,083 \text{ SF} / 44,787 = 0.538 \times 0.30 = 0.1614

Landscaped: 14,704 \text{ SF} / 44,787 = 0.328 \times 0.35 = 0.1148

Impervious 6,000 \text{ SF} / 44,787 = 0.134 \times 0.95 = \frac{0.1273}{0.4035}
```

The proposed project's average weighted runoff coefficient is lower than that for the maximum consistent project, and therefore meets the runoff coefficient test.

